

# GROWING READERS: A K-3 LITERACY PROFESSIONAL LEARNING GRANT

2019-2020 End-of-Year Evaluation Report

Elise Chappell December 2020



This page is intentionally left blank.

#### **Executive Summary**

#### **Overview**

Growing Readers is a K-3 literacy professional learning grant designed and implemented through Georgia's Regional Educational Service Agencies (RESAs) and administered through the Governor's Office of Student Achievement (GOSA) and the Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE). Growing Readers works to provide consistent and high-quality professional learning to teachers on effective reading instruction to help more children read at grade level by the end of third grade. Growing Readers is part of GOSA's goal to invest in universal RESA initiatives that ensure all regions in Georgia are receiving high-quality, replicable professional learning, and this initiative directly impacts student success in reading in grades K-3.

Growing Readers was first implemented during the 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 school years and was originally designed as a single-year program. Analysis of feedback and data led to its redesign to a two-year program, and the second model was implemented during the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 school years. Recommendations received through the evaluation process were used to make additional changes that are reflected in the current two-year cycle. This iteration of Growing Readers began in the 2019-2020 school year with new schools and teachers and continued the focus on literacy instruction for K-3 students in those schools. The current cycle includes the addition of a State Certification Project, which aims to implement, support, and sustain Growing Readers by certifying district and/or school staff members, known as Candidates, as Statewide Certified Growing Readers Specialists. This addition has the potential to increase the number of administrators, teachers, and students engaged in Growing Readers literacy practices. In order to implement this component and scale Growing Readers, GOSA has partnered with the Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE) to create a path for internal sustainability at the school and district level. Each RESA identified one or more Reading Specialists to provide coaching support to Candidates, teachers, and school leaders on reading instruction and tiered interventions for struggling students.<sup>1</sup> During 2019-2020, the first year of the expansion, Reading Specialists and Candidates worked with new schools and teachers. Reading Specialists are educators with a background in literacy instruction who were either already working for or hired by a RESA for this program.

For the third cycle of Growing Readers, RESAs recruited schools using the 2018 College and Career Ready Performance Index (CCRPI) Third Grade Lexile Indicator for schools in each RESA.<sup>2</sup> RESAs gave priority to schools with Third Grade Lexile Indicators below the state average, which is 50.65%. Each RESA selected three to five schools to participate.<sup>3</sup> Schools then selected two teachers per grade level from kindergarten to third grade to participate in Growing Readers. Growing Readers now has 38 Reading Specialists working with 90 schools and is being successfully implemented in all 16 RESAs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tiered interventions are part of the Response-to-Intervention (RTI) model in which teachers provide individualized supports in addition to regular classroom instruction to students who are performing below grade level according to the student's specific needs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The 2018 CCRPI Third Grade Lexile Indicator was used for school recruitment because the 2019 data were not yet available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schools that were participating in the Sandra Dunagen Deal Promise Schools initiative or other competing initiatives were not asked to participate in Growing Readers.

## **Program Goals**

The yearly goals for Growing Readers, as identified in the strategic plan, are provided below:

By the end of year one (Spring 2020):

- Observation data will show 75% of grant teachers effectively implement conferring.
- Fifty percent of students reading below the end-of-year (EOY) benchmark on the midyear assessment will be on or above grade level at the end of the year.

By the end of year two (Spring 2021):

- Observation data will show 90% of grant teachers effectively implement conferring.
- Observation data will show 75% of grant teachers effectively implement strategy groups.
- Fifty percent of students reading below the EOY benchmark on the midyear assessment will be on or above grade level at the end of the year.
- Eighty-five percent of struggling readers in grant classrooms will increase reading achievement by a minimum of one year's growth.

Figure 1: Map of Participating Growing Readers Schools



#### **Overview of Methodology**

The evaluation of Growing Readers focuses on four areas: implementation consistency, teacher practice, RESA cohesiveness and collaboration, and student outcomes. This report presents major findings for the 2019-2020 school year from multiple evaluation instruments. These instruments include quarterly status reports; the Teacher Observation Tool; professional learning session feedback form; regional meeting feedback session forms; EOY teacher, administration and candidate surveys, and the Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool for RESA Specialists.

For each of the four evaluation areas, GOSA's Research and Evaluation Team has created monitoring tools and protocols in coordination with the Growing Readers Design Team to ensure that appropriate data are collected, shared, and analyzed. A full description of each instrument, a discussion of collection and analysis methods, and findings related to each focus area are included in the appropriate section of the full report. However, several consistent methodologies are employed as guiding principles for the evaluation.

<u>Collection of Perception Data and Status Reporting</u>: All surveys and templates used in the Growing Readers evaluation are created by GOSA's Research and Evaluation Team with input from the Design Team. This collaboration ensures alignment between the program goals and evaluation instruments. All surveys are shared with stakeholders electronically and can be completed anonymously, and data from surveys is collected and analyzed by the evaluator and shared with GOSA's program manager and the Design Team. The status report template is updated annually to reflect implementation targets and timelines, and each RESA is required to submit an updated report quarterly. These documents are collected by the Design Team and submitted to GOSA for review.

<u>Student Achievement Data</u>: Each student in a participating Growing Readers classroom is assessed at three points in the year using an approved leveled reading assessment system. Each teacher collects results from his or her students, and data is uploaded to the GOSA evaluator through a secure file transfer protocol site administered by GOSA. Data are analyzed independent of Growing Readers staff and are shared with the Design Team for review.

#### **Summary of Findings**

## **Evaluation Focus Area I: Implementation Consistency**

GOSA's Research and Evaluation team reviewed quarterly status reports submitted independently by each RESA, professional learning session feedback forms, and regional meeting feedback forms to evaluate implementation consistency. Key findings include:

- All RESAs implemented all required components of Growing Readers during 2019-2020, including but not limited to the completion of two formal observations, submission of assessment data for BOY and MOY, two professional learning sessions, and two regional meetings for Candidates.
- Although the amount of contact time between participants and Reading Specialists varied among RESAs, the accessibility and support from each specialist was consistent across all regions. According to surveys, most teachers felt that their Specialist was available when needed. RESA specialists were expected to visit classrooms for a minimum of nine in-person visits per year, which was met.
- Two professional learning sessions trained teachers on effective reading instruction, conferencing with students, and utilizing research-based reading assessment practices. All professional learning sessions were presented within a two-week window to ensure consistency among RESAs.
- Two regional meeting sessions trained Candidates on effective coaching practices for their teachers.
- Responses for each professional learning session and regional meeting were consistently positive among all participants.

### **Evaluation Focus Area II: Teacher Practice**

To assess this focus area, GOSA's Research and Evaluation team analyzed EOY surveys for teachers, coaches, administrators, and Candidates. Key findings include:

- Ninety-eight percent of teachers were conferring with students by the MOY observation.
- Over 93% of teachers, administrators, coaches, and Candidates felt Growing Readers was valuable to improving literacy instruction and were likely to continue using Growing Readers strategies in the future.
- By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, 90% of teachers believed they were at least proficient in conferring with students, compared to 20% at the beginning of the school year.
- By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, 96% of teachers believed they were at least proficient in implementing independent reading with their students, compared to 35% at the beginning of the school year.
- By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, 99% of administrators and/or coaches stated that the school's participation in Growing Readers has slightly or much improved their school's literacy outcomes.

- One hundred percent of Growing Readers Candidates would recommend Growing Readers to a colleague.
- By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, 99% of Growing Readers Candidates felt that their participation in Growing Readers was valuable to their coaching practice.
- All participants recommended increasing the number of participants in Growing Readers to scale the program's impact schoolwide and proposed encouraging teachers within the program to collaborate with each other outside of professional learning sessions.

# Evaluation Focus Area III: RESA Cohesiveness and Collaboration

GOSA's Research and Evaluation team examined the cohesiveness and collaboration of RESAs through Growing Readers using a Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool.<sup>4</sup> Key findings include:

- All specialists felt the Growing Readers partnership has enabled consistent professional learning for teachers and Candidates across the state.
- All specialists felt that Candidates were doing quality work and added to Growing Readers in a positive way.
- All specialists felt like valued members of the Growing Readers Team.

# **Evaluation Focus Area IV: Student Outcomes**

Due to COVID-19, schools in Georgia closed for a short period of time at the end of the 2019-2020 school year. Following school closures, many students and teachers returned to school virtually through the end of the school year, but teachers were unable to assess students for their EOY reading levels because of inconsistency in instructional formats and delivery models. Teachers continued to virtually conference with students as time allowed, and Candidates and Reading Specialists continued to work with teachers and provide virtual coaching through the end of the school year. Teachers submitted BOY and MOY data for their students, but without EOY assessment data, student outcomes relative to reading levels could not be evaluated for the 2019-2020 school year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Due to the RESA specialists' consistently positive responses in prior evaluations, the Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool was shortened from previous versions to five targeted questions to assess specialists' perceptions of collaboration relative to main themes of Growing Readers and to provide recommendations on possible Growing Readers expansion moving forward.

#### **General Recommendations**

Based on the findings outlined in this report, GOSA recommends the following changes in 2020-2021:

- Identify potential opportunities for Growing Readers participants to collaborate across RESAs.
- Conduct formal calibration exercises with Candidates and teachers to determine a student's independent reading level to improve inter-rater reliability and the validity of assessment data.
- Continue to immerse teachers into the goal-setting process for their students and ensure they understand what one-year-growth looks like for each student for each assessment.
- Continue to provide consistent professional development for Candidates.
- Continue to work with Candidates to ensure they are providing effective coaching to teachers.
- Encourage the training and support of more Candidates involved to continue to scale Growing Readers and increase positive impact on students.
- Ensure that districts are aware of Candidates' duties when selecting staff members to fill this role.
- Apply the results from the 2019-2020 evaluation to inform goals for the second year of this iteration.
- Identify additional opportunities for teachers to reflect on their own practice and growth because of Growing Readers to encourage the development of personal and professional goals.
- Encourage teachers to internalize their personal responsibility in sustaining Growing Readers practices after the end of their two-year guidance.
- Encourage administrators and coaches to become more involved with Growing Readers and to participate in more observations and modeling strategies.
- Utilize Certified Growing Readers Specialists to further the mission and unifying beliefs of Growing Readers.

### **Next Steps**

The findings outlined in this evaluation indicate that the RESAs are successfully collaborating and delivering consistent, effective professional learning in K-3 literacy instruction to teachers and Candidates. The data also indicate that the RESA Certification Specialists have effectively trained the new Candidates to deliver the same caliber of coaching to their teachers. As a result of Growing Readers, teachers are changing their reading instructional practices to incorporate new strategies such as conferencing with students.

Growing Readers will continue its State Certification Project expansion during the 2020-2021 school year with the same schools and teachers. Candidates will continue to receive extensive training on coaching to increase students' literacy levels. RESA specialists will work with school districts in the fall and provide either face-to-face, virtual, or hybrid professional learning sessions to teachers and Candidates.

# **Table of Contents**

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY  | III  |
|--|------|
| OVERVIEW   | III  |
| PROGRAM GOALS  |      |
| OVERVIEW OF METHODOLOGY  |      |
| SUMMARY OF FINDINGS  |      |
| Evaluation Focus Area I: Implementation Consistency  |      |
| Evaluation Focus Area II: Teacher Practice   |      |
| Evaluation Focus Area III: RESA Cohesiveness and Collaboration<br>Evaluation Focus Area IV: Student Outcomes |      |
| GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS  |      |
| NEXT STEPS   |      |
| TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS   | X    |
| OVERVIEW OF GROWING READERS  | 2    |
| INTRODUCTION   | 2    |
| GROWING READERS MISSION AND GOALS  | 4    |
| SUMMARY OF CHANGES FOR 2019-2021 MODEL   | 5    |
| GROWING READERS STATE CERTIFICATION PROJECT  | 5    |
| PROFILE OF PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS   | 7    |
| EVALUATION METHODOLOGY   | 9    |
| Regional Meeting Session Feedback Forms  | 11   |
| Teacher End-of-Year Survey   |      |
| Administrator/Coach End-of-Year Survey   | 12   |
| Candidate End-of-Year Survey   |      |
| Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool   |      |
| MAJOR FINDINGS   | 13   |
| EVALUATION FOCUS AREA I: IMPLEMENTATION CONSISTENCY  |      |
| Quarterly Status Reports   |      |
| Professional Learning Session Feedback Forms   | 15   |
| Regional Meeting Session Feedback Forms  |      |
| Implementation Consistency Recommendations   |      |
| EVALUATION FOCUS AREA II: TEACHER PRACTICE<br>Teacher Observation Tool                                       |      |
| Teacher End-of-Year Survey   |      |
| Administrator/Coach End-of-Year Survey   |      |
| Candidate End-of-Year Survey   |      |
| Teacher Practice Recommendations   |      |
| EVALUATION FOCUS AREA III: RESA COHESIVENESS AND COLLABORATION   | 29   |
| RESA Cohesiveness and Collaboration Recommendations  |      |
| EVALUATION FOCUS AREA IV: STUDENT OUTCOMES   |      |
| CONCLUSION   |      |
| APPENDIX A: LIST OF PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS IN GROWING READE   | RS34 |
| APPENDIX B: TEACHER OBSERVATION TOOL   |      |
| APPENDIX C: ASSESSMENT LEVELING SYSTEM   |      |
| APPENDIX D: GROWING READERS STATUS REPORT  |      |
| COVER SHEET  |      |
| ORGANIZATION INFORMATION   | 43   |

| REPORT SUBMITTED BY | 43 |
|---------------------|----|
| GRANT INFORMATION   | 43 |
|                     |    |

APPENDIX E: GROWING READERS DOCUMENTS & ARTIFACTS......51

# **Table of Abbreviations**

| BOY   | Beginning-of-Year                      | CCRPI | College and Career Ready<br>Performance Index |
|-------|--|-------|---|
| CEP   | Community Eligibility<br>Provision     | EIP   | Early Intervention Program                    |
| ELL   | English Language Learner               | ELA   | English Language Arts                         |
| EOY   | End-of-Year                            | FAY   | Full Academic Year                            |
| FRL   | Free/Reduced-Price<br>Lunch            | FTE   | Full-Time Equivalent                          |
| GaDOE | Georgia Department of Education        | GOSA  | Governor's Office of Student<br>Achievement   |
| GTID  | Georgia Test ID                        | MOY   | Middle-of-Year                                |
| RESA  | Regional Educational<br>Service Agency | RTI   | Response-to-Intervention                      |
| SWD   | Students with Disabilities             |       |   |

### **Overview of Growing Readers**

Growing Readers is a literacy initiative that includes professional development for K-3 teachers in selected schools from each of Georgia's 16 RESAs. For each iteration of Growing Readers, schools are chosen based on third grade Lexile levels as reported on the College and Career Readiness Index (CCRPI)<sup>5</sup>. Once these schools are chosen and agree to participate, administrators at each school select two teachers from each grade level in kindergarten through third grade, resulting in eight total teachers from each school. These teachers participate in two professional learning sessions throughout the year, formally assess their students' reading levels three times a year (beginning of year, middle of year, and end of year), have three formal observations throughout the year, and have ongoing classroom support from either their RESA Reading Specialist or Candidate.

Reading Specialists in each RESA work with three to five schools. Throughout the course of the year, RESA Reading Specialists attend the required training sessions, redeliver the content and training of the two Professional Learning Sessions and Regional Meetings, complete three formal observations for each teacher, assist teachers with collecting BOY, MOY, and EOY assessment data, and provide ongoing support to their teachers and/or Candidates.

Beginning in the 2019-2021 school year, Growing Readers has added Candidates to its model to further scale and sustain the positive results of the original program design. Candidates are trained, supported, and certified through an intensive coaching system with RESA Growing Readers Specialists, but they are employed by a local school district. A Certified Growing Readers Specialist can ensure a district's ability to sustain the program and create a systemic implementation structure for Growing Readers. For a school to be eligible to host a Growing Readers Candidate, it must be identified as a Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Promise School by the Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE).

#### Introduction

Growing Readers works to provide consistent and research-based professional learning to teachers on effective reading instructional strategies to help more children read at grade level by the end of third grade. This grant is administered through the Governor's Office of Student Achievement (GOSA) in partnership with the Georgia Department of Education (GaDOE). Growing Readers is part of GOSA's mission to invest in universal RESA initiatives designed to ensure that teachers in all regions of the state are receiving high-quality, replicable professional learning that directly targets statewide goals, such as ensuring all students are reading on grade level by the end of third grade. The first model for Growing Readers was implemented during the 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 school years as a single-year program, and that model was restructured as a two-year program for implementation in the 2017-2018 and 2018-2019 school years. The recommendations from previous annual evaluations were used to inform changes to the current program, which includes the State Certification Project. This component aims to implement, support, and sustain Growing Readers by certifying district and/or school staff members, known as Candidates, as Statewide Growing Readers Specialists. This change will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The 2018 CCRPI Third Grade Lexile Indicator was used for school recruitment because the 2019 data were not yet available.

increase the number of administrators, teachers, and students engaged in Growing Readers literacy practices. The current cycle of Growing Readers began in the 2019-2020 school year with new schools and teachers and will continue through the end of the 2020-2021 school year.

Georgia's statewide network of 16 RESAs provides support services and professional development to local systems and schools. Historically, each RESA operates, plans, and provides support services to local systems and schools autonomously. Growing Readers presents an opportunity for RESAs to work together to provide the same content, quality of support, and professional learning throughout the state for a universal educational challenge.

In 2019-2020, each RESA identified at least one Reading Specialist to provide professional learning to three to five schools—eight teachers per school, two teachers per grade level (K-3) – in his/her RESA. In RESAs with a high number of federally identified schools, additional support was provided through the State Growing Readers Certification Project. In this model, previously trained RESA Growing Readers Specialists are assigned as mentors for Growing Readers Candidates. Each candidate is employed by a local system and works directly with teachers in a federally identified school. When a RESA specialist works with a candidate, the candidate provides coaching to participating teachers with support from the RESA specialist as needed. RESA Specialists also provide coaching to Candidates, teachers, and/or administrators on how to use reading assessments effectively to provide tiered instruction and interventions for students as Candidates work through the process of becoming fully certified. The goal is for these Candidates to become Certified Specialists able to impact more teachers and students in their district by creating a structure for internal scalability and sustainability. Reading Specialists from all RESAs collaborated to develop consistent professional learning sessions that are delivered to all participating Candidates and teachers throughout the school year. Although Specialists differentiate their coaching to address specific teacher needs, the focus of Growing Readers is to provide support for struggling readers through the effective use of instructional practices related to one-on-one conferencing and strategy groups. Growing Readers currently serves 90 schools and works with approximately 600 teachers and 12,000 K-3 students throughout the state. GOSA hopes that Growing Readers can continue to demonstrate the value of providing consistent, high-quality professional learning statewide through the RESAs to improve student achievement outcomes.

The 2019-2020 Growing Readers End-of-Year Evaluation Report is a comprehensive analysis of Growing Readers activities during the 2019-2020 school year. GOSA's Research and Evaluation team conducted this evaluation. The Research and Evaluation team collaborated with the Growing Readers Design Team to develop the evaluation plan and collect data. Analysis of the data was completed by the Research and Evaluation team independently from Growing Readers staff. The report includes the following components:

- A summary of Growing Readers' mission and goals;
- A Summary of changes to the 2019-2021 model;
- A profile of participating schools;
- A description of the evaluation methodology;
- A discussion of the findings for each evaluation instrument; and
- Recommendations for future practice.

# **Growing Readers Mission and Goals**

The mission of Growing Readers is to design and implement high-quality and consistent professional learning sessions, with a focus on instructional strategies for struggling students, for Candidates, teachers, and administrators in each RESA to improve student reading performance.

Goals for the program are established based on teacher behavior and student achievement outcomes and are related directly to learning targets identified in professional learning sessions. The Growing Readers Design Team developed consistent thresholds for identifying "target students" in need of additional support based on the leveling systems used by participating schools. Schools are limited in the selection of leveling systems to ensure consistency of assessment data.

Growing Readers is implemented on a two-year cycle. Annual goals for each year identified in the strategic plan are provided below:

By the end of year one:

- Observation data will show a minimum of 75% of grant teachers effectively implement conferring.
- Fifty percent of students reading below the EOY benchmark on the midyear assessment will be on or above grade level at the end of the year.

By the end of year two:

- Observation data will show at least 90% of grant teachers effectively implement conferring.
- Observation data will show 75% of grant teachers effectively implement strategy groups.
- Fifty percent of students reading below the EOY benchmark on the midyear assessment will be on or above grade level at the end of the year.
- Eighty-five percent of struggling readers in grant classrooms will increase reading achievement by a minimum of one year's growth.

Because the 2019-2020 is the first of two-year cycle, this evaluation report focuses solely on the goals for year one. Given the impact of COVID-19 on school districts throughout Georgia, teachers were unable to collect EOY data and were unable to complete observations as planned; therefore, the data for year one goals is incomplete. This evaluation report will focus on other evaluation instruments which provided teacher, leader, and candidate feedback.

# Summary of Changes for 2019-2021 Model

Growing Readers starts a new iteration every two years, allowing the Design Team to reflect on previous years and implement changes to better support participating teachers and schools. The changes for the 2019-2021 model are as follows:

- Began the State Certification Project which includes adding Candidates to the Growing Readers model to expand the impact of Growing Readers;
- Revised all rubrics and established protocols to ensure that all teachers, candidates, and coaches are calibrated on each rubric (independent reading, conferencing, etc.);
- Created and shared Growing Readers "Indicators of Success" so that all stakeholders have a consistent understanding of what success looks like in the classroom;
- Created and shared Growing Readers Principles in order to define how Growing Readers operates;
- Designed and launched a new electronic portfolio that allows for better resource sharing, a consistent virtual meeting space, and higher levels of collaboration between participating teachers;
- Collected and analyzed RESA Specialist feedback on Professional Learning and Regional Meeting sessions;
- The Design Team increased site visits across the state and observed more Growing Readers classrooms to ensure consistency;
- Received additional coaching from Kate Bishop throughout the year, ensuring continued professional growth for Specialists and Candidates;
- Improved coaching structures based on learning from Kate Bishop to strengthen that component of implementation; and
- Transitioned from face-to-face implementation to virtual implementation when COVID-19 affected schools.

In addition to the changes listed above, the Growing Readers team reflects on every professional learning session, regional meeting, RESA specialist meeting, classroom observation, etc. to evaluate where improvements can be made. The design continually reviews survey feedback and works to incorporate appropriate changes to better serve their teachers.

# **Growing Readers State Certification Project**

The Growing Readers State Certification Project adds to the existing impact of Growing Readers by reaching more schools and training a sustainable cohort of Growing Readers Certified Specialists at the district and school level. The original Growing Readers model will continue to impact approximately 50 schools, 390 teachers, and 7,000 students during the 2019-2021 cycle, but the Growing Readers State Certification Project allows seven RESA Specialists to take on new roles as Certification Specialists.

Certification Specialists are integral to expanding the impact of Growing Readers statewide. Each Certification Specialist individually serves one school though the original Growing Readers model – allowing the continuation of coaching practice and to ensure they remain aligned with the goals and mission of Growing Readers. All Growing Readers RESA Specialists eventually become certification specialists as they attend all required Growing Readers events. Additionally, each Certification Specialist serves eight Candidates in four schools (two Candidates per school). Each school has eight participating teachers.

During the first year of the Growing Readers State Certification Project, Growing Readers has expanded to an additional 40 schools, 240 teachers, and approximately 4,800 students beyond the original model. Because of the expanded model, Growing Readers had to hire additional employees to become RESA Specialists. Growing Readers specifically sought out teachers who had used Growing Readers strategies in their classrooms to become specialists. Although both the original Growing Readers model and the Growing Readers State Certification Project both integrate sustainable literacy practices into schools and teacher instruction, the Growing Readers State Certification Project builds capacity through the certification of State Certified Growing Readers Specialists because these Candidates are housed at the school or district level. Once certified, Candidates can utilize their new skills to increase literacy and sound teaching practices systematically throughout their districts. In this way, Certified Growing Reading Specialists can expand the use of Growing Readers practices beyond a single cohort of teachers.



Figure 1: Growing Readers State Certification Project Structure

# **Profile of Participating Schools**



Figure 2: Map of Participating Growing Readers Schools

During the 2019-2020 school year, Growing Readers served 90 schools throughout the state. Each RESA Specialist worked with three to five elementary schools in his/her region. RESA Reading Specialists reached out to schools with 2018 CCRPI Third Grade Lexile Indicator scores below the state average (50.65%).<sup>6</sup> Schools participating in the Sandra Dunagen Deal Promise Schools initiative or other potentially competing initiatives were excluded from consideration. If school administrators agreed to program implementation, the school was selected for participation. Reading Specialists continued to recruit schools until a minimum of three schools were selected for each RESA. After approval by GOSA, schools selected two teachers per grade level for kindergarten through third grade to participate in Growing Readers.<sup>7</sup> Figure above shows the geographic distribution of the 90 participating schools. A full table of participating schools, districts, and respective RESAs is available in Appendix A.

Reading Specialists used the 2018 CCRPI Third Grade Lexile Indicator to recruit schools.<sup>8</sup> The average 2018 Third Grade Lexile Indicator for Growing Readers schools was 29.10, which means, on average, only 29.10% of participating schools' full academic year (FAY) third grade

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Primary schools that only served K-2 students were not included in this calculation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Some RESAs elected to serve an additional school if the identified participants did not total eight teachers, such as if they selected a primary school that does not serve 3<sup>rd</sup> grade.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The 2018 CCRPI Third Grade Lexile Indicator was used for school recruitment because the 2019 data were not yet available.

students achieved a Lexile measure of 650 or above. (650 is considered the grade level target.)<sup>9</sup> On average, the Third Grade Lexile Indicator for selected schools was 21.55 percentage points lower than the state average of 50.65% in 2018. Even though Reading Specialists targeted lower performing schools during recruitment, since school selection was dependent on a school's willingness to participate, there is diversity among the participating schools in terms of reading performance. Preference was also given to districts which were engaged in Growing Readers during the previous cycle to continue building sustainability and capacity.

Reading Specialists only worked with select K-3 classrooms in participating schools in order to ensure a consistent level of support for all participating teachers. During the 2019-2020 school year, Growing Readers served approximately 12,000 students.

Table below shows the approximate racial/ethnic distribution of students in Growing Readers schools and the state. Overall, schools participating in Growing Readers had a racial/ethnic distribution of students that is somewhat aligned with the state's student population.

|                 | Growing<br>Readers Schools | All Schools<br>in Georgia | Difference in<br>Percentage Points |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| American Indian | <1%                        | <1%                       | 0                                  |
| Asian           | <1%                        | 4%                        | +3                                 |
| Black           | 54%                        | 37%                       | -17                                |
| Hispanic        | 12%                        | 16%                       | +4                                 |
| Multiracial     | 3%                         | 4%                        | +1                                 |
| White           | 30%                        | 39%                       | +9                                 |

Table 1: Demographic Profile Comparison of Growing Readers Students and the State<sup>10</sup>

Source: GaDOE October 2019 FTE Enrollment by Ethnicity/Race & Gender and GOSA K-12 Public Schools Report Card.

Twelve percent of students in Growing Readers schools are Hispanic, which is four percentage points lower than the state's overall percentage (16%). Asian students comprise a smaller share of Growing Readers students (1%) than the state (4%). The representation of White students in Growing Readers (30%) is nine percentage points lower than that of Georgia (39%). Fifty-four percent of students in Growing Readers schools are lack, compared to 37% in all schools in Georgia. Given these differences, it is important to remember that the demographic profile in

Table is simply an overall summary of the racial/ethnic demographics for students in participating Growing Readers schools and does not capture school-level differences within the program.

Twelve percent of Growing Readers students are classified as students with disabilities (SWD), which is the same as the state's share of SWD students during the 2019-2020 school year.<sup>11</sup> Nine

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To be counted as FAY, a student must be enrolled for at least two-thirds of the school year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> State subgroup data were obtained through GOSA's Annual Report Card available <u>here</u>. GOSA used 2018-2019 data because 2019-2020 data are not yet available.

percent of Growing Readers students are English Learners, which is one percentage point lower than the 10% of all Georgia students classified as English Learners in 2019-2020.

Although Free/Reduced-Price Lunch (FRL) status is commonly used as an indicator for poverty, this report does not provide FRL data because schools participating in the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) of the National School Lunch Program do not collect student-level FRL data. For reporting purposes, these schools are coded as 100% FRL.<sup>12</sup> As an alternative measure of student poverty, GOSA studied the percentage of students who are "directly certified," which means students receive Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) benefits, or are identified as homeless, unaccompanied youth, or migrant. The average percentage of students who were directly certified in Growing Readers schools during 2019-2020 was 58%.

# **Evaluation Methodology**

GOSA collected and analyzed developmental and summative information in four evaluation focus areas: implementation consistency, teacher practice, RESA cohesiveness and collaboration, and student outcomes. Table lists each evaluation focus area with its respective evaluation question(s) and instruments, but a detailed description of each instrument and its purpose is provided in this section. The remainder of the report will present major findings from the evaluation instruments. These instruments include quarterly status reports, professional learning session feedback forms, regional meeting feedback forms, end-of-year teacher, candidate, and administration surveys, and Collaboration Self-Assessment tools.

| Evaluation Focus Area         | Evaluation Question(s)  | Instruments   |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Implementation<br>Consistency | Did RESA Reading Specialists present<br>professional learning opportunities and<br>research-based strategies that provide<br>instructional support for struggling students?<br>Were professional learning opportunities and<br>supports consistent across RESAs?<br>Was the grant program implemented with<br>fidelity? | Quarterly Status Reports<br>Professional Learning<br>Session Feedback Forms<br>Regional Meeting Feedback<br>Forms |
| Implementation<br>Consistency | Were Candidates appropriately supported<br>and trained to effectively coach teachers?   | Regional Meeting Artifacts<br>Candidate End-of-Year<br>Surveys  |

Table 2: Summary of GOSA's Growing Readers Evaluation Plan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> For more information on why FRL is not the most accurate measure of student poverty, please see GOSA's education update <u>here</u>.

| Evaluation Focus Area   | Evaluation Question(s)   | Instruments  |
|---|--|--|
| Teacher Practice strategies to provide instructional reading support for struggling students?   |  | Teacher and<br>Administrator/Coach End-<br>of-Year Surveys                   |
| RESA Cohesiveness and<br>CollaborationAre the RESAs working cohesively to<br>design and provide teacher support and<br>professional learning opportunities?To what degree are the RESAs<br>collaborating? |  | Collaboration Self-<br>Assessment Tool                                       |
| Student Outcomes  | Are students benefiting from greater teacher<br>preparation in providing reading<br>interventions for struggling students? | No student assessment data<br>is available for the 2019-<br>2020 school year |

# **Quarterly Status Reports**

Reading Specialists and Candidates submit status reports to GOSA on a quarterly basis. In each status report, Reading Specialists or Candidates indicate whether grant milestones set by the GOSA program manager are on track or not, allowing GOSA to monitor how Growing Readers is implemented in each RESA and to identify any immediate needs. Reading Specialists and Candidates also record their cumulative contact hours with each school in the status reports.

### **Professional Learning Session Feedback Forms**

Growing Readers consists of four total professional learning sessions administered over the course of two years. Participants attend Sessions 1 and 2 in year one and Sessions 3 and 4 in year two. GOSA's primary vision for Growing Readers is to ensure all regions in Georgia are receiving consistent, high-quality professional learning to improve K-3 literacy instruction. The Design Team develops the content and training materials for each professional learning session and trains all Reading Specialists on how to conduct the professional learning session. This ensures that training for participating teachers is consistent throughout all RESAs.

GOSA developed a common feedback form for all RESAs to use after each professional learning session. All RESAs delivered each session within a designated time frame. Session 1 occurred from late August through mid-September and Session 2 from late January to mid-February.<sup>13</sup> All sessions consisted of two eight-hour days and focused on the same five learning targets:

1. Establish a common understanding of the reading process and the Georgia Standards of Excellence for Reading;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The flexibility in professional learning scheduling allowed specialists to accommodate the availability of their teachers and Growing Readers participants.

- 2. Establish classroom structures that support effective reading instruction and student learning;
- 3. Engage in teacher-student conferences to assess readers, provide feedback, and set individual goals;
- 4. Understand and use effective reading assessment practices; and
- 5. Implement strategy groups based on student needs.

GOSA sent the feedback forms electronically to all participants after each professional learning session. The feedback forms were the same for each session to establish consistency. The surveys asked respondents for general information including their RESA, their instructional role, what grade they teach, and how many years they have been teaching. Reading Specialists welcomed school team members who were not official grant participants to attend the professional learning sessions, so participants at each session included teachers (full grant participation or professional learning only), instructional coaches, and administrators. In Session 1 and Session 2, approximately 6% of participants were professional learning only. GOSA staff analyze the data from session feedback, and trends and patterns are shared with the Design Team so that any necessary adjustments in training and support can be made.

# **Regional Meeting Session Feedback Forms**

In 2019-2020, Growing Readers implemented regional meetings to support Candidates that are a part of the State Certification Project. There are four planned regional meetings which will be administered over the course of two years. Candidates attend Sessions 1 and 2 in year one and Sessions 3 and 4 in year two. The Design Team develops the content for each regional meeting session and then trains all Reading Specialists on how to conduct regional meeting sessions with Candidates. This ensures that training for participating Candidates is consistent throughout all RESAs and that teachers receive the same coaching across the state.

GOSA developed a common feedback form for all RESAs to use after each regional meeting. GOSA sent the feedback forms electronically to all Candidates after each regional meeting session. The feedback forms asked different questions for each regional meeting because each meeting focused on different strategies. The feedback forms asked respondents for general information including their RESA, their role, and how many years they have been working in education.

Participants evaluated the regional meeting sessions using a five-point Likert scale to determine how much they agree or disagree with the statements.<sup>14</sup> GOSA staff analyze the data from session feedback, and trends and patterns are shared with the Design Team so that any necessary adjustments in training and support can be made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The response options were Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Neutral (3), Agree (4), or Strongly Agree (5).

## **Teacher End-of-Year Survey**

GOSA created and administered an EOY survey to all Growing Readers teacher participants to evaluate the impact of Growing Readers on teacher practice and collect feedback on the program. Teachers were asked to complete the survey electronically in April and May 2020. GOSA received 631 responses. The survey consisted of 17 questions, including general background questions, pre/post retrospective questions, open-ended questions, and perception questions rated on a five-point scale.<sup>15</sup> GOSA analyzed the answers to these questions to understand how teachers felt about Growing Readers and how confident they felt in implementing pieces from the professional development sessions. GOSA analyzed responses and identified themes from the open-ended questions to give recommendations to the design team for year two.

#### Administrator/Coach End-of-Year Survey

GOSA also administered an EOY survey to all Growing Readers participants serving in an administrative or coaching role in a school or district to evaluate the impact of Growing Readers on teacher practice from a different perspective. Administrators and coaches were asked to complete the survey electronically in April and May 2020. GOSA received 132 responses from administrators and coaches. The survey consisted of 18 questions, including general background questions, pre/post retrospective questions, open-ended questions, and perception questions rated on a five-point scale.<sup>16</sup> The majority (58%) of respondents were principals, 31% were coaches, and 9% were assistant principals.<sup>17</sup> GOSA analyzed the answers to these questions to understand how administration felt about Growing Readers. GOSA identified themes from the open-ended questions to give recommendations to the design team for the next year.

### **Candidate End-of-Year Survey**

GOSA administered an EOY survey to all Growing Readers Candidates. Growing Readers Candidates were asked to complete the survey electronically in April and May 2020. GOSA received 94 responses from Candidates. The survey consisted of 19 questions, including general background questions, pre/post retrospective questions, open-ended questions, and perception questions rated on a five-point scale.<sup>18</sup> Sixty-nine percent of respondents were school-based staff members, and 28% of respondents were district level staff members. GOSA analyzed the answers to these questions to understand how Candidates felt about Growing Readers and how confident they felt coaching teachers. GOSA identified themes from the open-ended questions through the process of reduction in order to provide recommendations to the design team for the next year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> All response scales were designed so that a rating of 1 was the lowest and 5 was the highest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> All response scales were designed so that a rating of 1 was the lowest and 5 was the highest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Other respondents included counselors and district-level coaches or administrators.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> All response scales were designed so that a rating of 1 was the lowest and 5 was the highest.

# **Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool**

GOSA's Research and Evaluation team developed the Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool in order to collect data on the effectiveness of the collaboration among all RESAs as part of Growing Readers. The survey was administered in May 2020 to collect data at EOY. Due to consistency of Specialists' responses in the previous iterations of Growing Readers, the RESA Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool was altered to include open-ended questions and fewer scaled items.

# **Major Findings**

Throughout the 2019-2020 school year, GOSA collected data on Growing Readers using the evaluation instruments in Table . This report includes findings and summative conclusions from quarterly status reports, professional learning session feedback forms, regional meeting feedback forms, end-of-year surveys, and the Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool. The findings that follow are organized according to the four evaluation focus areas listed in Table which are listed below.

- Implementation Consistency
- Teacher Practice
- RESA Cohesiveness and Collaboration
- Student Outcomes

#### **Evaluation Focus Area I: Implementation Consistency**

To evaluate implementation consistency, GOSA collected quarterly status reports from each Reading Specialist that tracked each RESA's overall progress in program implementation. GOSA also analyzed data from the professional learning session and regional meeting feedback forms.

#### **Quarterly Status Reports**

Reading Specialists submit status reports to GOSA on a quarterly basis. An example of a quarterly status report can be found in Appendix D. Most RESAs have one Reading Specialist responsible for implementing Growing Readers, but some RESAs have more than one part- or full-time Reading Specialist. In total, there are 38 Reading Specialists, an increase from 25 during the previous iteration. Reading Specialists vary in the amount of time he/she can dedicate to the program; several Reading Specialists split their time between Growing Readers and other RESA work. Additionally, Reading Specialists vary in the number of schools and teachers they support. Nevertheless, in general, each RESA works with five schools and serves a minimum of 24 teachers—two teachers from each grade level (K-3) per school. Although some state-funded Reading Specialists do have additional RESA responsibilities, all participating teachers in Growing Readers receive the same amount of on-site support and coaching from their Reading Specialist.

Reading Specialists provided school administrators with suggested criteria for teacher selection that included qualities such as openness to new methods, willingness to collaborate, and commitment to fully participate in Growing Readers and meet all expectations.

During the 2019-2020 school year, RESA Reading Specialists spent roughly 6,000 hours in participating schools. Reading Specialists provided on average 72 hours of on-site support to each school in addition to frequent online support and communication and the off-site professional learning sessions. The majority of the on-site support involved conducting observations; assisting with reading assessment administration; and coaching teachers, coaches, and administrators. RESA Specialists with assigned Candidates worked directly with the Candidates to support their schools, and modeled coaching practices to these Candidates.

Some Reading Specialists also serve on the Growing Readers Design Team in addition to their duties as a Reading Specialist. The Design Team is a group of six highly qualified Reading Specialists who collaborate to develop the professional learning content and materials for Growing Readers. The Design Team members represent several RESAs across the state: First District, Metro, Middle Georgia, Pioneer, and West Georgia. Design Team members meet approximately once per month to develop professional learning content and resources, produce universal coaching materials, and make executive decisions regarding programmatic questions. A chart explaining the different artifacts and agendas for Growing Readers professional learning and regional meeting sessions can be found in Appendix E. The Design Team is critical to ensuring the Reading Specialists are aligned in their practice.

Regardless of any differences in capacity among RESAs, the status reports indicate that all RESAs are meeting all program implementation milestones. The conclusions that follow were made based on the analysis of data from quarterly status reports. Each RESA delivered both professional learning sessions and regional meetings (if RESAs had Candidates) during the specified time frames. Professional learning sessions are for participating Growing Readers teachers, while the regional meetings are for the Candidates who are working with the RESA specialists in order to become a more effective literacy coach. Even though the professional learning sessions and regional meetings were administered separately by RESA, the training content—which is developed by the Design Team—was consistent throughout each RESA. Reading Specialists and/or Candidates submitted baseline and midyear data for teachers using a common Teacher Observation Tool. Additionally, all RESAs had a Reading Specialist present at every programwide planning meeting. The meetings ensure that the research-based strategies and coaching support provided by the Reading Specialists are consistent across all RESAs.

# **Professional Learning Session Feedback Forms**

Participants attend two Professional Learning Sessions in year 1. Participants evaluated the professional learning sessions using a five-point Likert scale to determine how much they agree or disagree with seven statements.<sup>19</sup>

Table shows the percentage of participants who agreed or strongly agreed with the survey statements for each professional learning session. In general, responses to all statements from both sessions were very positive. Over 89% of participants in all sessions agreed with the survey statements. Participants overwhelmingly felt they learned useful strategies in each session and that the sessions were well planned and engaging.

| Survey Statements  | Session 1<br>(Percent Agree or<br>Strongly Agree) | Session 2<br>(Percent Agree or<br>Strongly Agree) |
|--|---|---|
| I learned useful literacy intervention strategies that I can apply in the classroom. | 93%   | 95%   |
| I feel more confident in supporting my Tier 2 and Tier 3 students instructionally.   | 89%   | 94%   |
| I feel prepared to implement the strategies I learned today in the classroom.        | 89%   | 94%   |
| The Professional Learning Session was well organized.                                | 95%   | 94%   |
| The Professional Learning Session was presented at an appropriate level.             | 94%   | 95%   |
| The Professional Learning Session was engaging.                                      | 94%   | 95%   |

# Table 3: Professional Learning Session Feedback Form Results

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The response options were Strongly Disagree (1), Disagree (2), Neutral (3), Agree (4), or Strongly Agree (5).

| Survey Statements  | Session 1<br>(Percent Agree or<br>Strongly Agree) | Session 2<br>(Percent Agree or<br>Strongly Agree) |
|--|---|---|
| The strategies and resources utilized were appropriate for<br>meeting the stated objectives of the Professional Learning<br>Session. | 95%   | 95%   |

The consistently positive response after each professional learning session demonstrates that Reading Specialists are delivering easily digestible professional learning to teachers across all RESAs. Reading Specialists have been successful in meeting the established learning targets of training teachers on effective reading instruction, conferencing with students, administering assessments, and implementing targeted interventions, based on teachers' ratings of their understanding and preparation.

Respondents were also given the option to comment on what they liked and disliked about the training and how they planned to implement their learning. When asked what participants liked about the session, participants mentioned the following after both sessions:

- Opportunities for collaboration and networking within and between schools;
- Relevant and engaging content that could be implemented immediately in the classroom;
- Useful reading instruction strategies and resources, including conferencing with students and targeted interventions that can immediately be applied in the classroom; and
- Modeling and hands-on practice with new strategies.

"This professional learning session was great. I really learned a lot from the in-depth training to understand the reading hierarchy. I learned how to set more appropriate goals and strategies for my learners." Furthermore, when given the opportunity to provide any additional comments, of those who responded, almost all expressed praise for the Reading Specialists, excitement to be a part of Growing Readers, or a desire for the program to continue.

When asked what participants would like to

improve about the sessions, most respondents stated they have no suggestions for improvement for either session. Of those who did list improvements, the most common suggestions were related to the logistics and timing of the sessions, such as condensing them into one day and having them earlier and more frequently in the year. Participants also recommended allowing more opportunities for collaboration within the Growing Readers network, such as collaborative discussions during sessions or observing teachers at other schools.

Finally, when participants were asked about their next steps after each session, the majority stated they would begin implementing the strategies learned immediately; prevalent answers included conferencing with students and using targeted intervention strategies with struggling students, both of which are directly linked to the learning targets for sessions 1 and 2. Several teachers also expressed a desire to share what they learned with other

"The information presented during the session will help me to better support to my struggling readers."

teachers. Thus, Growing Readers was also effective in meeting established learning targets and inspiring teachers to change their reading instructional practice. Overall, the professional

learning session feedback forms reveal that throughout the program, Reading Specialists delivered professional learning that was perceived as valuable by participants to help teachers support struggling readers.

## **Regional Meeting Session Feedback Forms**

Growing Readers implemented regional meetings to support the Candidates that are a part of the State Certification Project to ensure their ability to support teachers. Table 4 shows the percentage of participants who agreed or strongly agreed with the survey statements for each regional meeting session. Some of the questions were specific to each session and were only asked once, while some questions were general and remained the same over both sessions. In general, responses to all statements from both sessions were very positive. Over 89% of participants in all sessions agreed or strongly agreed with the survey statements. Participants overwhelmingly felt they learned useful strategies in each session and that the sessions were well planned and engaging.

| Survey Statements  | Session 1<br>(Percent Agree or<br>Strongly Agree) | Session 2<br>(Percent Agree or<br>Strongly Agree) |
|--|---|---|
| I feel confident using indicators to guide classroom support.                                    | 89%   | n/a   |
| I feel confident supporting teachers with matching students to "just-right" texts.               | 90%   | n/a   |
| I feel confident using the rubric to score a teacher-student conference in the observation tool. | n/a   | 92%   |
| I feel confident working toward my personal learning goal.                                       | n/a   | 98%   |
| I feel confident doing a freeze frame for teachers.  | n/a   | 90%   |
| The Regional Meeting Session was well organized.   | 90%   | 100%  |
| The Regional Meeting Session was presented at an appropriate level.                              | 91%   | 100%  |
| The Regional Meeting Session was engaging.   | 91%   | 95%   |

| Table 4: Regional Meeting Feedback Form Results | Table 4: | Regional | Meeting | Feedback | Form | Results |
|---|----------|----------|---------|----------|------|---------|
|---|----------|----------|---------|----------|------|---------|

The consistently positive response after each regional meeting session demonstrates that Reading Specialists are delivering easily digestible professional learning to Candidates across all RESAs. These Candidates feel confident in re-delivering this information to their teachers.

Respondents were also given the option to comment on what they liked and disliked about the training and how they planned to implement their learning. When asked what participants liked about the session, participants mentioned the following after both sessions:

• Opportunities for collaboration and networking with Candidates across districts;

- Relevant and engaging coaching methods that could be implemented immediately in working with their teachers;
- Modeling and hands-on practice with new coaching strategies; and
- The welcoming atmosphere that RESA specialists created. Candidates felt that specialists were knowledgeable and extremely supportive.

"The organization, pacing, and hands-on activities made the regional session engaging, informative, and *fun! I love how expectations and* criteria are clearly defined and explained allowing for implementation with fidelity."

Furthermore, when given the opportunity to provide any additional comments, of those who responded, almost all expressed praise for the Reading Specialists, excitement to be a part of Growing Readers, or a desire for the program to continue.

When asked what participants would like to improve about the sessions, most respondents

*"Setting up the"* 

coaching experience

allowed me to better

understand how to

plan for coaching

conversations."

stated they have no suggestions for improvement for either session. Of those who did list improvements, the most common suggestions were related to the logistics and timing of the sessions. Some Candidates expressed concern regarding time management between their fulltime position and being a Candidate. Given that responses to the improvement question were positive overall, Growing Readers is meeting its goal to offer high-quality professional learning to Candidates on coaching teachers across all RESAs.<sup>20</sup>

Finally, when Candidates were asked about their next steps after each session, the majority stated they would begin implementing the strategies learned in that particular session; prevalent answers included meeting with teachers and creating action plans, working with RESA Specialists to observe their reading blocks and modeling Growing Readers strategies. Overall, the regional meeting session feedback forms reveal that throughout the program, Reading Specialists delivered engaging and valuable professional learning to help Candidates support Growing Readers teachers.

### **Implementation Consistency Recommendations**

The major findings from the quarterly status reports, Professional Learning Session Feedback Forms, and the Regional Meeting Session Feedback Forms indicate that Reading Specialists are implementing Growing Readers consistently across all RESAs to teachers, administrators, and Candidates. All participants are receiving the same professional learning on how to support struggling readers, and survey results indicate that an overwhelming majority of participants agree that they are learning useful strategies to implement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> GOSA conducted a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test of the survey responses by RESA and found that there were no statistically significant differences between the responses from each RESA for each survey statement. As such, GOSA chose to discuss survey findings for Growing Readers as a whole, rather than by RESA.

Based on findings and feedback from the status reports and Professional Learning/Regional Meeting Session Feedback Forms, the evaluation team recommends the following actions moving forward.

- Maintain the accessibility of Reading Specialists to participants to preserve relationshipbuilding.
- Facilitate improved communication between RESAs and Growing Readers teachers in order to maximize the impact of Growing Readers professional learning and avoid scheduling conflicts.
- Preserve the current model for developing professional learning content to maintain consistency across RESAs.
- Continue to offer professional learning sessions to non-grant participants to further build capacity in schools.
- Communicate among RESAs to learn about and share successful or innovative strategies for encouraging collaboration across schools and districts.
- Encourage participants from other districts to collaborate and work together.
- Continue to offer Regional Meetings to Candidates to support their coaching skills.
- Clearly communicate the duties and expectations for Candidates to participating school districts so that they can appropriately choose staff members for this role.

# **Evaluation Focus Area II: Teacher Practice**

GOSA collected qualitative and quantitative data using various instruments to evaluate teacher practice. GOSA worked with the RESA Reading Specialists to develop a common Teacher Observation Tool that was used consistently to track changes in instruction for teachers throughout the school year. Due to COVID-19, Reading Specialists were only able to complete formal observations at the beginning and middle of the school year. Additionally, GOSA administered an EOY survey to teachers, administrators, and Candidates to collect qualitative data on the impact of Growing Readers on teacher practice.

# **Teacher Observation Tool**

The Teacher Observation Tool is a comprehensive observation instrument that allows Reading Specialists to document teacher practices according to four professional learning targets identified by Growing Readers. Reading Specialists submitted observation data for teachers during the 2019-2020 school year in the BOY and MOY to demonstrate changes in teacher practice over time. The BOY observation is used as a baseline. Due to COVID-19, RESA specialists were unable to complete EOY observations, therefore the complete Teacher Observation Tool data will not be reported as MOY data is not sufficient to show significant changes from the baseline. GOSA was able to analyze and compare the 2017-2018 MOY data to the 2019-2020 MOY data to see if teachers were on track to meet target by the middle of the year.

The Teacher Observation Tool is not meant to be evaluative and is not used as part of a teacher's formal evaluation. Instead, the purpose of the tool is to allow Reading Specialists to document teacher and student behaviors, identify any strengths and areas for improvement, and determine

what coaching support is needed. The four learning targets, which also align with the professional learning session targets, are:

- **Target 1: Framework** Establish classroom structures that support effective reading instruction and student learning.
- **Target 2:** Conferring Engage in teacher-student conferences to assess readers, provide feedback, and set individual goals.
- **Target 3: Assessment** Use informal and formal assessment data to make instructional decisions.
- **Target 4: Interventions** Implement targeted reading strategies based on relevant data to address one or more of the five essential components of reading.<sup>21</sup>

The targets capture critical teacher practices that must be present to provide quality literacy instruction for all students, especially struggling readers, as identified by the Reading Specialists. For each target, the Reading Specialists record evidence of successful implementation of various strategies associated with each learning target. Growing Readers goal for year one is for at least 75% of teachers to effectively implement conferring. A copy of the complete Teacher Observation Tool is available in Appendix B.

GOSA compared the first school year (2017-2018) from the 2017-2019 iteration to the first school year (2019-2020) of the current 2019-2021 iteration to compare how both sets of teachers were conducting conferences with students and using assessment strategies during their first year of participating in Growing Readers. Without EOY data, GOSA could only analyze MOY data from both years to assess if teachers were on track to meet their EOY goals. Table 5 shows the percentage of teachers meeting specified indicators for each learning target during MOY observations for 2017-2018 and 2019-2020. During year 1 of implementation, RESA specialists specifically focus on conferring with students. In 2017-2018, teachers exceeded this goal by 99% of teachers successful conferring with students by MOY. In 2019-2020, teachers also exceeded this goal and had 98% of teachers conferring with students by MOY, which shows that teachers were on track to meet the goal of 75% of teachers conferring with students by MOY. For the assessment target, reading specialists could mark several different strategies; GOSA calculated the percentage of teachers implementing at least one of the identified strategies.

| Table 5: Percentage | of Vear One  | Teachers | Observed | Implementing | Judicators  |
|---------------------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| rable 5. refeemage  | of I cal One | reachers | Observeu | mprementing  | ginulcators |

| Learning Target | Indicator Measured                          | MOY 2017-2018 | MOY 2019-2020 |
|-----------------|---|---------------|---------------|
| Conferring      | Conferring with students                    | 99%           | 98%           |
|                 | Use of assessment strategies                | 99%           | 80%           |
| Assessment      | Use of assessment data to guide instruction | 95%           | 85%           |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The five essential components of reading include: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and comprehension.

Teachers were on track in the 2019-2020 school year to meet their year 1 goal regarding conferencing. During the second year of implementation, teachers will focus on strategy groups. RESA specialists will continue to observe teachers using the Observation Tool during the 2020-2021 school year, either completing observations face-to-face or virtually, depending on the school district.

#### **Teacher End-of-Year Survey**

GOSA administered an EOY survey to all Growing Readers teacher participants to evaluate the impact of Growing Readers on teacher practice and collect feedback on the program. Table below summarizes the responses to the perception questions as well as "yes" or "no" questions from the survey. The results are split into teachers who had Candidates in their schools, and teachers who did not have Candidates in their schools to evaluate whether there was a major difference between having a Candidate or not. All teachers did have a RESA specialist in their schools, and that specialist either worked directly with the teachers or directly with the Candidates to support those teachers. The overall results are positive, regardless of whether teachers had a candidate, which shows that RESA specialists are providing high-quality professional learning to both teachers and Candidates. Over 95% of respondents felt supported by the Reading Specialist, applied what they learned from Growing Readers in the classroom, would recommend the program to a colleague, and were likely to continue using Growing Readers strategies in the future. Of the different professional learning supports provided, respondents felt that materials and/or resources provided by the specialist and the professional learning sessions were more valuable than other supports like classroom observations. Respondents also appreciated the on-site support in tandem with the professional learning that ensured follow-up and implementation of strategies.

| Su   | rvey Question  | Percentage of:                            | Teachers<br>with<br>Candidates | Teachers<br>without<br>Candidates |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| How valuable have<br>the following<br>Growing Readers<br>supports been to your<br>teaching practice?               | Professional learning sessions<br>led by the RESA Reading<br>Specialist/Candidate  | Percent Very or<br>Extremely<br>Valuable  | 93%                            | 94%                               |
|  | Materials and/or resources<br>provided by the RESA Reading<br>Specialist/Candidate | Percent Very or<br>Extremely<br>Valuable  | 96%                            | 87%                               |
|  | Observations of your classroom<br>by the RESA Reading<br>Specialist/Candidate      | Percent Very or<br>Extremely<br>Valuable  | 89%                            | 92%                               |
|  | One-on-one coaching with the<br>RESA Reading<br>Specialist/Candidate               | Percent Very or<br>Extremely<br>Valuable  | 91%                            | 94%                               |
| How often have you<br>been able to do the<br>following?  | Reflect on<br>your reading instructional<br>practice                               | Percent Often or<br>Always                | 91%                            | 88%                               |
|  | Communicate with other<br>teachers about reading<br>instruction                    | Percent Often or<br>Always                | 88%                            | 85%                               |
| How supported do you feel by the RESA Reading Specialist and/or candidate?   |  | Percent Very or<br>Extremely<br>Supported | 97%                            | 97%                               |
| How valuable is your participation in Growing Readers to improving your instructional practice?                    |  | Percent Very or<br>Extremely<br>Valuable  | 93%                            | 94%                               |
| How often do you apply what you learn from the Reading Specialist in your classroom?                               |  | Percent Often or<br>Always                | 96%                            | 95%                               |
| What is the likelihood that you will continue using the strategies you learned from Growing Readers in the future? |  | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Likely       | 95%                            | 97%                               |
| Would you recommend Growing Readers to a colleague?  |  | Percent Yes                               | 98%                            | 99%                               |

| Table 6: End-of-Year | Teacher Survey  | Attitude | Ouestion Results |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|
|                      | 1 cucher Survey | 1100000  | Question results |

When asked to rate their interactions with the Reading Specialist during the year, teachers indicated a high level of satisfaction. Ninety-eight percent of all respondents felt their Specialist was prepared for professional development, easily accessible, trustworthy, and provided constructive feedback. Almost all respondents agreed that the classroom support provided by the Specialists met their expectations. Specialists were thus successful in establishing strong relationships with teachers.

The EOY survey also included a pre/post retrospective question that analyzed any changes in teacher practice because of participating in Growing Readers. Respondents were asked to rate their level of knowledge of specific learning targets before Growing Readers and at the time of the survey. Table shows that teachers felt their knowledge of reading instructional strategies had significantly increased from the beginning of the program to the time of the survey. Teachers gained the most knowledge in conducting conferences with students, as the percent proficient in

these areas increased by 70 percentage points. The significant growth in all areas reflects the emphasis on conferring, intervention strategies, and strategy groups during professional learning sessions. Growing Readers was successful in equipping teachers with the knowledge to support struggling readers in the classroom.

|   | Percent Proficient or Above |     |
|---|-----------------------------|-----|
| Learning Target   | Beginning of<br>Program     | Now |
| Conducting teacher-student conferences with students          | 20%                         | 90% |
| Administering reading assessments to monitor student progress | 35%                         | 92% |
| Using assessment data to create goals for students            | 24%                         | 87% |
| Selecting strategies to support struggling students           | 24%                         | 88% |
| Implementing independent reading                              | 35%                         | 96% |
| Creating leveled classroom libraries                          | 37%                         | 94% |

Table 7: Pre/Post Retrospective Teacher Practice Question Results

Teachers also provided feedback through open-ended response questions. When asked how Growing Readers has benefited them, the most frequent responses included increased confidence as a reading teacher, better ability to assess and address individual student needs, new instructional strategies like conferencing, and hands-on resources such as the book of reading strategies.<sup>22</sup> When asked what challenges they have faced from participating in Growing Readers, most teachers listed finding time in the classroom to conference with students. Teachers also mentioned implementing the new strategies learned correctly and having enough leveled books for students as challenges.

"I have benefited from Growing Readers not only by being able to supply my students with books that they can read on their levels, but also being able to properly assess my students to see what strategies to put in place in order to help them improve their reading skills."

When asked what they would improve about Growing Readers, many teachers had no improvements. Of those who did list improvements, frequent recommendations included:

- Including more teachers and administrators in the program,
- Additional classroom modeling,
- Creating opportunities to collaborate with and potentially observe other Growing Readers teachers, and
- Providing more examples of effective conferring during sessions or through videos.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> All Growing Readers teachers received a copy of Jennifer Serravallo's, *The Reading Strategies Book* as part of their professional learning.

Overall, the EOY teacher survey findings reveal that participating teachers felt they have learned valuable and applicable reading instructional strategies to support struggling readers. Growing Readers has had a noticeable impact on teacher practice during the 2019-2020 school year.

#### Administrator/Coach End-of-Year Survey

GOSA also administered an EOY survey to all Growing Readers participants serving in an administrative or coaching role in a school or district to evaluate the impact of Growing Readers on teacher practice from a different perspective.

The survey asked respondents to indicate how often they participated in various Growing Readers activities during the school year. Seventy-two percent of respondents often or always attended the professional learning sessions, and 52% of respondents often or always had discussions about reading performance with the Reading Specialist. Respondents were not as involved (28%) in classroom observations with the Reading Specialist, but some noted that they would debrief with the Reading Specialist if they were unable to observe with them. Overall, participating administrators and coaches seem to have actively engaged with the Reading Specialists.

Table below summarizes the responses to the perception questions as well as "yes" or "no" questions from the survey. Similar to the teacher survey, the responses from administrators and coaches are also largely positive. All respondents felt the quality of K-3 literacy instruction in their school has improved as a result of Growing Readers. Over 97% of respondents felt supported by the Reading Specialist. One hundred percent of respondents planned to continue the use of Growing Readers strategies and would recommend Growing Readers to another school. Over 97% of respondents felt the professional learning sessions, materials and resources, and feedback from Reading Specialist were valuable supports.

| Survey Question  |   | Percentage of Respondents              |     |
|--|---|--|-----|
| How valuable<br>have the<br>following<br>Growing<br>Readers supports<br>been to your<br>teachers?                            | Professional learning sessions led by the RESA Reading Specialist/Candidate | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 95% |
|  | Materials and/or resources provided by the RESA Reading Specialist          | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 98% |
|  | Feedback on reading instruction from the RESA Reading Specialist            | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 93% |
| How informed do you feel by the Reading Specialist?  |   | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Supported | 96% |
| How has the quality of K-3 reading instruction in your school changed as a result of participating in Growing Readers?       |   | Slightly or Much<br>Improved           | 99% |
| How valuable is your school's participation in Growing Readers in meeting your school's literacy goals?                      |   | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 97% |
| What is the likelihood that you will continue to encourage the use of strategies learned from Growing Readers in the future? |   | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Likely    | 98% |
| Would you recommend Growing Readers to another school?   |   | Percent Yes                            | 98% |
| Would you be will<br>Growing Readers?  | ing to pay your RESA to continue providing                                  | Percent Yes                            | 71% |

Seventy-one percent of respondents indicated that they would be willing to pay their RESA to continue providing Growing Readers because they felt it is a worthwhile program.<sup>23</sup> Some respondents felt Growing Readers should be implemented statewide and incorporate grades past K-3.

Similar to the teacher survey, when asked to rate their interactions with the Reading Specialist during the year, administrators and coaches responded positively. All respondents felt the Specialist was prepared for professional development, provided adequate on-site support, was on time and was knowledgeable about reading. Ninety-six percent of respondents knew when the Specialist was going to be in their school, 96% felt like they could trust their specialist, and 95% said the specialist provided them with aggregate feedback on the reading performance of participating classrooms. Overall, perception data suggest that Reading Specialists developed very strong relationships with administrators and coaches.

Table shows that administrators and coaches saw significant increases in teachers implementing Growing Readers learning targets as a result of Growing Readers. At the time of the survey, over 83% of respondents observed teachers conferencing with struggling readers to assess progress; providing feedback and setting goals using formal and informal reading assessment data to make instructional decisions; and using a combination of whole group instruction, small group instruction, and independent practice during literacy blocks. Respondents observed the greatest growth (64 percentage points) in teachers implementing independent reading during their reading blocks. There was also a significant increase in the percentage of teachers conferencing with struggling readers and sharing reading instructional strategies with each other. Thus, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Some respondents indicated no because they do not have control over financial decisions.

alignment with the teacher EOY survey, administrators and coaches also observed changes in teacher practice because of Growing Readers.

|   | Percent Proficient and Above |     |  |
|---|------------------------------|-----|--|
| Learning Target                                 | Before Growing<br>Readers    | Now |  |
| Teachers conducting teacher-student conferences | 20%                          | 83% |  |
| Teachers assessing readers                      | 34%                          | 90% |  |
| Teachers implementing independent reading       | 29%                          | 93% |  |
| Teachers creating leveled classroom libraries   | 30%                          | 89% |  |

Table 9: Pre/Post Retrospective Teacher Practice Question Results: Administrator/Coach Survey

"Our teachers are able to conference one on one with students in a strategic manner. It has helped build reading confidence in our students, and it has also helped build teacherstudent relationships." Finally, administrators and coaches provided additional feedback in open-ended responses. When asked how Growing Readers has benefited their schools, respondents highlighted the new strategies to support struggling readers and the increased knowledge among teachers, administrators, and coaches on the reading process. Some respondents also stated that they are sharing their learning with the rest of the staff or other schools in the district. When asked what challenges they have faced from participating in Growing Readers,

administrators and coaches also cited taking time to attend the professional learning sessions and finding time in classroom schedules to implement strategies. Multiple respondents also expressed a desire to include more teachers in Growing Readers. When asked what they would improve about Growing Readers, the following recommendations were provided:

- Increase the number of participating teachers and/or train the entire school to reach higher grade levels,
- Condense professional learning days and offer them earlier in the school year, and
- Set clear expectations for administrators, coaches, and districts about the program model at the beginning of the year.

Overall, the findings from the administrator/coach EOY survey align with the findings from the teacher survey. Administrators and coaches felt Growing Readers has been valuable to improving reading instruction in their schools and have noticed changes in teacher practice because of the program.

# Candidate End-of-Year Survey

GOSA administered an EOY survey to all Growing Readers Candidates. Table 9 below summarizes the responses to the perception questions as well as yes or no questions from the survey. Like the teacher and administrator surveys, answers were largely positive. Over 99% of respondents felt supported by their assigned Reading Specialist. One hundred percent of respondents planned to continue the use of Growing Readers strategies and would recommend Growing Readers to another school. Over 97% of respondents felt the professional learning sessions, materials and resources, and feedback from Reading Specialist were valuable supports.

| Survey Question   |  | Percentage of Respondents              |      |
|---|--|--|------|
| How valuable<br>have the  | On-site support from your RESA specialist            | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 99%  |
| following<br>Growing<br>Readers supports<br>been to coaching<br>practice?   | Regional Meetings                                    | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 94%  |
|   | Teacher professional learning sessions               | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 99%  |
| How supported do you feel by the RESA Reading Specialist?   |  | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Supported | 99%  |
| How valuable is your participating in Growing Readers to your coaching practice?  |  | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 99%  |
| How valuable is your participation in Growing Readers in<br>enhancing your knowledge, skills, and confidence with teaching<br>reading?  |  | Percent Very or<br>Extremely Valuable  | 97%  |
| How often do you apply what you learn from Growing Readers in your practice?  |  | Percent Often or Always                | 97%  |
| Would you recomm  | nend Growing Readers to a colleague?                 | Percent Yes                            | 100% |
| As a result of<br>participating in<br>Growing<br>Readers, please<br>indicate how<br>often you have<br>been able to do<br>the following: | Coach teachers in classrooms                         | Percent Often or Always                | 73%  |
|   | Reflect on your coaching practice                    | Percent Often or Always                | 83%  |
|   | Strengthen your understanding of the reading process | Percent Often or Always                | 93%  |

Table 10: End-of-Year Candidate Survey Perception Question Results

Like the teacher and administrator survey, when asked to rate their interactions with the Reading Specialist during the year, Candidates provided positive responses. All respondents felt the specialist was prepared for professional development sessions, provided coaching that met expectations, and was available when Candidates needed coaching or on-site support. Ninetynine percent of Candidates indicated a feeling of trust in their specialist. Overall, the data suggest that Reading Specialists developed very strong relationships with Candidates.
Table 10 shows that Candidates had significant increases in understanding identified learning targets as a result of participation in Growing Readers. At the time of the survey, over 99% of respondents observed teachers conferencing with struggling readers. Respondents observed the greatest growth (55 percentage points) in observing teacher-student conferences. There was also a significant increase in the percentage of Candidates observing teachers setting goals with struggling readers after the assessments had taken place. Thus, in alignment with the teacher EOY survey, Candidates also observed changes in teacher practice because of Growing Readers.

|   | Percent Proficient and Above |      |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Learning Target                                     | Before Growing<br>Readers    | Now  |  |  |  |
| Observing independent reading                       | 66%                          | 100% |  |  |  |
| Helping to create leveled classroom libraries       | 66%                          | 98%  |  |  |  |
| Observing teacher-student conferences               | 44%                          | 99%  |  |  |  |
| Observing teachers setting goals with readers       | 46%                          | 95%  |  |  |  |
| Observing teachers selecting strategies for readers | 51%                          | 99%  |  |  |  |

Table 11: Pre/Post Retrospective Candidate Question Results

"I was able to develop trusting relationships with the Growing Readers teachers. We were able to collaborate to discuss ideas, strategies, as well as constructive criticism to become better at what we do as a team." Finally, Candidates provided additional feedback in open-ended responses. When asked how Growing Readers has benefited their coaching, respondents highlighted the new strategies to support teachers in helping struggling readers and the increased knowledge among teachers, administrators, and coaches on the reading process. Candidates stated that the Growing Readers professional development has helped them with their coaching practice. When asked what challenges they have faced from participating in Growing Readers,

Candidates cited taking time to attend the professional learning sessions and finding time in classroom schedules to implement strategies. Many Candidates are already full-time school or district staff members, so adding this responsibility to their schedule seemed challenging for some. Finally, when asked what they would improve about Growing Readers, some limited recommendations were provided. Responses are listed below:

- Increase the number of participating teachers and grade levels;
- Offer more professional learning sessions and on-site support throughout the school year; and
- Set clear expectations about the program model at the beginning of the year.

Overall, the findings from the candidate EOY surveys align with the findings from the teacher and administrator survey. Candidates felt Growing Readers has been valuable to improving reading instruction in their schools and districts and have noticed changes in teacher practice because of program participation.

#### **Teacher Practice Recommendations**

The findings from the EOY surveys all support the conclusion that Growing Readers has positively

"Implementing coaching rounds at my school was a game changer. Everyone became more focused and it was a great way for me to ensure that Candidates were meeting with teachers between visits and doing intentional coaching based on the things we modeled."

impacted teacher practice during the 2019-2020 school year by introducing instructional reading strategies for teachers to support struggling readers. Based on the findings and feedback from the EOY surveys, GOSA recommends the following:

- Facilitate increased communication with teachers, such as through personal phone numbers, to utilize the specialist's time most effectively and ensure that teachers are anticipating or are available for observations on a given day.
- Continue to focus on conferencing and implementing strategy groups to keep percentages high.
- Continue to work with Candidates to ensure they are providing high-quality coaching to teachers.
- Clarify roles and responsibilities for all stakeholders at the beginning of the year and revisit periodically during implementation.

### **Evaluation Focus Area III: RESA Cohesiveness and Collaboration**

GOSA's Research and Evaluation team developed the Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool for Growing Readers to collect data on the effectiveness of the collaboration among all RESAs as part of this program.

Overall, Reading Specialists agreed that inter-RESA collaboration is strong and provides consistent professional learning for teachers and Candidates across the state. All specialists rated Growing Readers as being good or excellent in comparison to other professional learning they have experienced. All respondents also felt Growing Readers has enabled consistent professional learning for teachers across the state. The high percentage of agreement among specialists indicates cohesiveness among the specialists and a strong, collaborative partnership.

The open-ended responses regarding the Growing Readers partnership were universally positive. All Reading Specialists recognized the growth they have observed in teachers and schools as a result of Growing Readers. Specialists are aware of implementation expectations and operate under the guidance of their shared foundational belief. Specialists also enjoyed the coaching professional development they received from Kate Bishop over the course of the year. When asked what could have been done differently to see more results, specialists agreed that there needed to be more calibration for teachers and Candidates, additional classroom modeling, and potentially working with students beyond third grade.

The overall agreement among all Reading Specialists on the effectiveness and successes of Growing Readers suggests cohesiveness throughout the program. Although Growing Readers can always continue to improve certain aspects of the partnership, these results show that an initiative like Growing Readers, which aims to enhance collaboration among all RESAs and provide standardized professional learning for educators in Georgia, is both feasible and beneficial to RESAs and the schools, teachers, and students they serve.

# **RESA** Cohesiveness and Collaboration Recommendations

The Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool results demonstrate strong alignment and collaboration between RESAs for Growing Readers. Given the positive feedback, GOSA recommends the following:

- Continue to use the current collaborative model moving forward, especially the leadership of the Design Team and the program wide meetings with all Specialists, to establish and maintain consistency.
- Provide more calibration activities for teachers and Candidates regarding student assessment for more consistency statewide.
- Continue to utilize reflection and evaluation to improve program components while maintaining consistency.
- Promote Growing Readers collaboration within each RESA as an exemplar of how RESAs can collaborate more in the future to address other professional learning initiatives.
- Develop a handbook or best practices guide on how to successfully collaborate among RESA to deliver effective professional learning.
- Continue working with Candidates on best coaching practices

### **Evaluation Focus Area IV: Student Outcomes**

To analyze student outcomes, GOSA evaluates independent reading levels for students in all participating teachers' classes. To align with the emphasis on conferring and independent reading in Growing Readers and to establish a consistent measurement system by which to assess student achievement, schools are required to choose one of four leveled reading systems to assess reading and track student growth:

- Developmental Reading Assessment (DRA);
- Fountas and Pinnell;
- Reading A-Z; or
- Rigby PM Benchmark Collection.

Using a leveled reader system, teachers determine a student's independent reading level during an individual conference by assessing the student's fluency, accuracy, and comprehension.<sup>24</sup> Growing Readers specialists use students' independent reading levels at the BOY, MOY, and EOY to track student growth. Due to the flexibility in the selection of reading materials, there was some diversity in the types of reading assessments Growing Readers schools used.

There are two challenges with using leveled reader systems to assess students. First, leveled reader system scales are not directly comparable. Some use letter scales, while others use numeric scales. In addition, the systems do not use equal interval scales. Thus, within a single system, progressing from a level A to level C is not the same as progressing from level F to level H, for example. Similarly, progressing from level 1 to 2 in one system is not the same as progressing from level A to B in another. As a result, GOSA cannot compare reading levels across grade levels or leveling systems. The second challenge is that teachers determine a student's independent reading level during an individual conference by evaluating the student's fluency, accuracy, and comprehension while reading. Given the subjective nature of the process, variability in teachers' abilities to determine a student's independent reading level accurately and consistently may impact the data.

To address these challenges, after extensively researching each leveling system, Growing Readers identified its own independent reading level grade level benchmarks for each leveling system (included in Appendix C). Growing Readers then used available research-based resources and their knowledge of each leveling system to correlate the reading levels of each system with one another. Specifically, the design team used Fountas & Pinnell's growth models and aligned this one to each leveling system so that students can be compared across leveling systems. Growing Readers agreed to use the program-defined grade level benchmarks to assess overall student progress in reading performance. GOSA used Growing Readers benchmarks to evaluate the percentage of all students meeting program benchmarks at the MOY and EOY. Growing Readers analyzes student growth data by looking at students independent reading levels, which is defined as a student reading a text at 96% accuracy.

Due to COVID-19, teachers were unable to assess students for their EOY benchmarks, therefore student assessment data could not be analyzed for the 2019-2020 school year. Although data were collected at BOY and MOY, without EOY data, evaluation of student learning goals is not possible. This analysis will resume for the 2020-2021 evaluation.

### Conclusion

The 2019-2020 Growing Readers End-of-Year Evaluation Report is a comprehensive analysis of Growing Readers' activities during the 2019-2020 school year. This report includes major findings for the four evaluation focus areas: implementation consistency, teacher practice, and RESA cohesiveness and collaboration, and student outcomes. Although this report discusses each evaluation area, due to COVID-19 some EOY data could not be collected and analyzed.

#### Implementation Consistency

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> A student's independent reading level is a text level that the student can read successfully without any assistance.

Data collected from quarterly status reports submitted by the Reading Specialists reveal that all RESAs completed program implementation milestones. The professional learning sessions, regional meetings, observations, and submission of assessment data were administered consistently, and all schools received similar services across all RESAs. The professional learning sessions and regional meeting sessions received consistently positive feedback overall. The majority of participants agreed that the sessions taught useful strategies, were engaging and organized, and prepared teachers and Candidates to support struggling students. These findings provide evidence that each RESA is implementing all components of the grant, and Growing Readers has successfully delivered engaging and valuable professional learning to teachers across the state during the 2019-2020 school year.

#### Teacher Practice

Data from the teacher, administrator, and candidate EOY surveys provide insight on how Growing Readers has impacted teacher practice. EOY survey data reveal that over 99% of teachers, administrators, and coaches felt Growing Readers was valuable to improving reading instruction and were likely to continue using Growing Readers practices in the future. All stakeholders felt more proficient in and have seen increased use of conferencing and targeted interventions. These data demonstrate that teachers have begun to implement new strategies and change their practices after participating in Growing Readers.

#### RESA Cohesiveness and Collaboration

The Collaboration Self-Assessment Tool evaluates how cohesive, successful, and meaningful the RESA collaboration has been to Reading Specialists. All specialists felt the partnership has enabled the delivery of consistent professional learning across the state, allowed for collaboration among RESAs, and is likely to positively impact K-3 literacy instruction. All specialists agreed that the addition of Candidates was positive and that they are adding scalability to the Growing Readers model. They largely attributed Growing Readers cohesiveness to the work of the Design Team, the evidence-based practices, and their shared program focus.

#### Student Outcomes

Student outcome data could not be analyzed for the 2019-2020 school year due to EOY assessments being canceled because of COVID-19. Assessments will resume based on metrics provided in Appendix C in the fall of 2020 and year two benchmarks will be evaluated in the 2020-2021 evaluation cycle.

#### Summary

Overall, the major findings for implementation consistency and RESA cohesiveness and collaboration indicate that RESAs are collaborating and delivering consistent and high-quality K-3 literacy professional learning to teachers and Candidates through Growing Readers. The teacher practice findings reveal that teachers are changing their instructional practice and implementing new strategies learned from Growing Readers in their classroom. Candidates are learning new coaching skills as well. GOSA will continue to look at implementation consistency, teacher practice, RESA cohesiveness and collaboration, and student outcomes during the second year of this cycle, school year 2020-2021.

# Appendix A: List of Participating Schools in Growing Readers

| RESA                        | School                              | District         |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Central Savannah River Area | Terrace Manor Elementary            | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Lamar-Milledge Elementary School    | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Garrett Elementary School           | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Tobacco Road Elementary School      | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Monte Sano Elementary School        | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Bayville Elementary School          | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Hains Elementary School             | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Glenn Hills Elementary School       | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Wilkinson Gardens Elementary School | Richmond County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Dearing Elementary School           | McDuffie County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Thomson Elementary School           | McDuffie County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Maxwell Elementary School           | McDuffie County  |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Louisville Academy                  | Jefferson County |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Carver Elementary School            | Jefferson County |  |  |
| Central Savannah River Area | Wrens Elementary School             | Jefferson County |  |  |
| Chattahoochee Flint         | Clay County Elementary School       | Clay County      |  |  |
| Chattahoochee Flint         | Quitman County Elementary School    | Quitman County   |  |  |
| Chattahoochee Flint         | J. D. Davis Elementary School       | Muscogee County  |  |  |
| Chattahoochee Flint         | South Columbus Elementary School    | Muscogee County  |  |  |
| Chattahoochee Flint         | L. K. Moss Elementary School        | Marion County    |  |  |
| Chattahoochee Flint         | Crisp County Primary School         | Crisp County     |  |  |
| Coastal Plains              | Cook County Primary                 | Cook County      |  |  |
| Coastal Plains              | Cook County Elementary              | Cook County      |  |  |
| Coastal Plains              | Echols County Elementary            | Echols County    |  |  |
| Coastal Plains              | Quitman Elementary                  | Brooks County    |  |  |
| First District              | Lyman Hall Elementary School        | Liberty County   |  |  |
| First District              | Reidsville Elementary School        | Tattnall County  |  |  |
| First District              | Todd Grant Elementary School        | McIntosh County  |  |  |
| First District              | Glennville Elementary School        | Tattnall County  |  |  |

| Griffin           | Beaverbrook Elementary School               | Griffin-Spalding      |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Griffin           | Flint Hill Elementary School                | Newton County         |
| Griffin           | Porterdale Elementary School                | Newton County         |
| Heart of Georgia  | Telfair County Elementary School            | Telfair County        |
| Heart of Georgia  | East Laurens Primary School                 | Laurens County        |
| Heart of Georgia  | East Laurens Elementary School              | Laurens County        |
| Metro             | C.H. Gullatt Elementary School              | Fulton County         |
| Metro             | Scott Elementary School                     | Atlanta Public School |
| Metro             | Brookview Elementary School                 | Fulton County         |
| Metro             | Panola Way Elementary School                | DeKalb County         |
| Metro             | Boyd Elementary School                      | Atlanta Public School |
| Metro             | Murphey Candler Elementary School           | DeKalb County         |
| Metro             | Peachcrest Elementary School                | DeKalb County         |
| Metro             | Dunaire Elementary School                   | Dekalb County         |
| Metro             | Toney Elementary School                     | DeKalb County         |
| Metro             | Dresden Elementary                          | Dekalb County         |
| Metro             | Flat Shoals Elementary School               | DeKalb County         |
| Metro             | College Park Elementary School              | Fulton County         |
| Metro             | Harper Archer Elementary School             | Atlanta Public School |
| Metro             | S.L. Lewis Elementary School                | Fulton County         |
| Metro             | Mary M. Bethune Elementary School           | Fulton County         |
| Metro             | Conley Hills Elementary School              | Fulton County         |
| Middle Georgia    | Parkwood Elementary School                  | Houston County        |
| Middle Georgia    | Westside Elementary School                  | Houston County        |
| Middle Georgia    | Miller Elementary School                    | Houston County        |
| North Georgia     | Hill City Elementary School                 | Pickens County        |
| North Georgia     | Tate Elementary School                      | Pickens County        |
| North Georgia     | Harmony Elementary School                   | Pickens County        |
| Northeast         | Howard Stroud Elementary                    | Clarke County         |
| Northeast Georgia | Alps Elementary School                      | Clarke County         |
| Northeast Georgia | Social Circle Primary and Elementary School | Social Circle School  |
| Northeast Georgia | South Jackson Elementary School             | Jackson County        |
| Northwest Georgia | Young's Grove Elementary School             | Polk County           |

| Northwest Georgia | Van Wert Elementary School             | Polk County       |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|
| Northwest Georgia | Northside Elementary School            | Polk County       |
| Oconee            | Ridge Road Primary School              | Washington County |
| Oconee            | Ridge Road Elementary School           | Washington County |
| Oconee            | Midway Hills Primary School            | Baldwin County    |
| Oconee            | Midway Hills Academy                   | Baldwin County    |
| Oconee            | Johnson County Elementary School       | Johnson County    |
| Oconee            | Putnam County Primary School           | Putnam County     |
| Okefenokee        | Waynesville Primary School             | Brantley County   |
| Okefenokee        | Satilla Elementary School              | Coffee County     |
| Okefenokee        | Nicholls Elementary School             | Coffee County     |
| Pioneer           | Royston Elementary School              | Franklin County   |
| Pioneer           | Lavonia Elementary School              | Franklin County   |
| Pioneer           | Chicopee Woods Elementary School       | Hall County       |
| Southwest Georgia | Miller County Elementary School        | Miller County     |
| Southwest Georgia | Calhoun County Elementary School       | Calhoun County    |
| Southwest Georgia | Northside Elementary School            | Grady County      |
| Southwest Georgia | Southside Elementary School            | Grady County      |
| Southwest Georgia | Whigham Elementary School              | Grady County      |
| West Georgia      | George E. Washington Elementary School | Meriwether County |
| West Georgia      | Unity Elementary School                | Meriwether County |
| West Georgia      | Berta Elementary School                | Troup County      |
| West Georgia      | Bruce Elementary School                | Bibb County       |
| West Georgia      | Hartley Elementary School              | Bibb County       |
| West Georgia      | Mountain View Elementary               | Meriwether County |
| West Georgia      | Park Elementary School                 | Harris County     |
| West Georgia      | Carrollton Elementary School           | Carrollton City   |

### **Appendix B: Teacher Observation Tool**

#### **Learning Target 1: Framework**

Indicate whether each of the following statements was true for the lesson that you observed by checking the box.

- □ The instructional activities observed were clearly aligned to one or more of the Georgia Standards of Excellence.
- □ The instructional activities observed were clearly aligned to one of the five components of reading.

What type(s) of instruction were used during this observation?

- □ Whole Group
- □ Small Group
- □ Independent Practice
- □ An effective balance of instructional formats was observed.

#### Learning Target 2: Conferring

Conferring was observed during this visit:

- □ Yes
- □ No

For the instances of conferring you observed during the session, please rate the effectiveness of the practices for each phase that was implemented. <u>Note: If a phase was not observed during the observation, do not provide a rating</u>.

|          | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Research |   |   |   |   |
| Design   |   |   |   |   |
| Teach    |   |   |   |   |
| Try      |   |   |   |   |

During the instances of conferring that were observed, the texts that were read by students were best described as being:

- □ On the student's independent reading level.
- □ Not appropriate for the student's independent reading level.

(If appropriate level) The text read by the student during the observed conferring session was appropriate based on which of the following criteria (select all that apply):

- $\Box$  The student's accuracy rate was at or above 96%.
- □ The student's fluency did not impact his/her understanding of the text.
- □ The student could accurately retell major events of the story

(If not appropriate level) The text read by the student during the observed conferring session was not appropriate based on which of the following criteria (select all that apply):

- $\Box$  The student's accuracy rate was less than 96%.
- □ The student's fluency did seem to impact his/her understanding of the story.
- □ The student could not accurately retell major events of the story.

#### Learning Target 3: Assessment

Evidence of Effective Assessment Strategies

- $\hfill\square$  Observes reading behaviors
- $\Box$  Confers with students
- □ Formally assesses students
- $\Box$  Involves students in setting goals
- Diagnoses students' strengths, weaknesses, knowledge, and skills
- □ Documents anecdotal notes about students
- □ Other

Evidence of Effective Assessment Uses

- □ Create flexible groups
- □ Provide feedback
- □ Engage students in appropriate independent practice
- □ Match students to appropriate leveled texts
- □ Deliver targeted, focused instruction to students
- □ Other

#### **Learning Target 4: Interventions**

The use of strategy groups was observed during the visit.

- □ Yes
- □ No

For the instances of strategy groups you observed during the session, please rate the effectiveness of the practices for each phase that was implemented. <u>Note: If a phase was not observed during the observation</u>, <u>do not provide a rating</u>.

|          | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Research |   |   |   |   |
| Design   |   |   |   |   |
| Teach    |   |   |   |   |
| Try      |   |   |   |   |

#### Next Steps/Feedback

This section should describe the targeted area for feedback and additional coaching that will occur as a result of this observation.

Ongoing Support/Coaching

## Appendix C: Assessment Leveling System

|              | 1<br>August | 2<br>September | 3<br>October | 4<br>November | 5<br>December | 6<br>January | 7<br>February | 8<br>March | 9<br>April | 10<br>May |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| K            | -           | -              | А            | А             | В             | В            | В             | С          | С          | С         |
| First Grade  | С           | D              | Е            | Е             | F             | G            | G             | Н          | Н          | Ι         |
| Second Grade | Ι           | J              | J            | J             | K             | Κ            | K             | L          | L          | L         |
| Third Grade  | L           | М              | М            | М             | Ν             | Ν            | Ν             | 0          | 0          | 0         |

## Fountas & Pinnell Guided Reading and Lucy Calkins Benchmark Assessment System

#### Easy to Use Reference Goal Chart

| First Grade | Year Goal | Second Grade | Year Goal | Third Grade | Year Goal |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| -           | С         | -            | С         | -           | С         |
| А           | Е         | А            | Е         | А           | Е         |
| В           | G         | В            | G         | В           | G         |
| С           | Ι         | С            | Ι         | С           | Ι         |
|             |           | D            | J         | D           | J         |
|             |           | Е            | J         | Е           | J         |
|             |           | F            | K         | F           | K         |
|             |           | G            | K         | G           | K         |
|             |           | Н            | L         | Н           | L         |
|             |           | Ι            | L         | Ι           | L         |
|             |           |              |           | J           | М         |
|             |           |              |           | K           | Ν         |
|             |           |              |           | L           | 0         |

|              | 1<br>August | 2<br>September | 3<br>October | 4<br>November | 5<br>December | 6<br>January | 7<br>February | 8<br>March | 9<br>April | 10<br>May |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| К            | -           | -              | A, 1         | A, 1          | 2             | 2            | 2             | 3          | 3          | 3         |
| First Grade  | 3           | 4              | 6            | 8             | 10            | 12           | 12            | 14         | 14         | 16        |
| Second Grade | 16          | 18             | 18           | 18            | 20            | 20           | 20            | 24         | 24         | 24        |
| Third Grade  | 24          | 28             | 28           | 28            | 30            | 30           | 30            | 34         | 34         | 34        |

### **Developmental Reading Assessment-DRA (2)**

Easy to Use Reference Goal Chart

| First Grade | Year Goal | Second Grade | Year Goal | Third Grade | Year Goal |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| -           | 3         | -            | 3         | -           | 3         |
| A, 1        | 8         | A, 1         | 8         | A, 1        | 8         |
| 2           | 12        | 2            | 12        | 2           | 12        |
| 3           | 16        | 3            | 16        | 3           | 16        |
|             |           | 6            | 18        | 6           | 18        |
|             |           | 8            | 18        | 8           | 18        |
|             |           | 10           | 20        | 10          | 20        |
|             |           | 12           | 20        | 12          | 20        |
|             |           | 14           | 24        | 14          | 24        |
|             |           | 16           | 24        | 16          | 24        |
|             |           |              |           | 18          | 28        |
|             |           |              |           | 20          | 30        |
|             |           |              |           | 24          | 34        |

#### 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10 August September October November December January February March April May 2 Κ 1 1 2 2 3-4 3-4 3-4 --11-12 11-12 **First Grade** 7-8 7-8 9-10 3-4 5-6 13-14 13-14 15-16 Second Grade 19-20 15-16 17-18 17-18 17-18 19-20 19-20 21 21 21 Third Grade 21 22 22 22 23 23 23 24 24 24

#### **Rigby PM Benchmark Collection**

#### Easy to Use Reference Goal Chart

| First Grade | Year Goal | Second Grade | Year Goal | Third Grade | Year Goal |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| -           | 3-4       | -            | 3-4       | -           | 3-4       |
| 1           | 7-8       | 1            | 7-8       | 1           | 7-8       |
| 2           | 11-12     | 2            | 11-12     | 2           | 11-12     |
| 3-4         | 15-16     | 3-4          | 15-16     | 3-4         | 15-16     |
|             |           | 5-6          | 17-18     | 5-6         | 17-18     |
|             |           | 7-8          | 17-18     | 7-8         | 17-18     |
|             |           | 9-10         | 19-20     | 9-10        | 19-20     |
|             |           | 11-12        | 19-20     | 11-12       | 19-20     |
|             |           | 13-14        | 21        | 13-14       | 21        |
|             |           | 15-16        | 21        | 15-16       | 21        |
|             |           |              |           | 17-18       | 22        |
|             |           |              |           | 19-20       | 23        |
|             |           |              |           | 21          | 24        |

# Reading A to Z

|              | 1<br>August | 2<br>September | 3<br>October | 4<br>November | 5<br>December | 6<br>January | 7<br>February | 8<br>March | 9<br>April | 10<br>May |
|--------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| K            | aa          | aa             | А            | А             | В             | В            | В             | С          | С          | С         |
| First Grade  | С           | D              | Е            | Е             | F             | G            | G             | Н          | Н          | Ι         |
| Second Grade | Ι           | J              | K            | K             | L             | L            | L             | М          | М          | М         |
| Third Grade  | М           | N              | 0            | Р             | Q             | Q            | R             | R          | S          | S         |

| First Grade | Year Goal | Second Grade | Year Goal | Third Grade | Year Goal |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| aa          | С         | aa           | С         | aa          | С         |
| А           | Е         | Α            | Е         | Α           | Е         |
| В           | G         | В            | G         | В           | G         |
| С           | Ι         | С            | Ι         | С           | Ι         |
|             |           | D            | J         | D           | J         |
|             |           | Е            | K         | Е           | K         |
|             |           | F            | L         | F           | L         |
|             |           | G            | L         | G           | L         |
|             |           | Н            | М         | Н           | М         |
|             |           | Ι            | Μ         | Ι           | М         |
|             |           |              |           | J           | Ν         |
|             |           |              |           | K           | Р         |
|             |           |              |           | L           | R         |
|             |           |              |           | Μ           | S         |

# Easy to Use Reference Goal Chart

#### **Appendix D: Growing Readers Status Report**

# Growing Readers Status Report Federally Funded

#### **Cover Sheet**

**Instructions:** Submit this form to Kathy Matthews (<u>Kathy.matthews@mresa.org</u>) three times per year: Status Report Four, Friday, Oct. 30, 2020; Status Report Five Friday, Jan. 29, 2021; and Status Report Six Friday, May 28, 2021. This form does not need to be signed. Please cc: your Executive Director on the correspondence.

| Organizat           | tion Inform | nation       |        |        |  |  |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------|--------|--------|--|--|
| <b>RESA Dis</b>     | strict:     |              |        |        |  |  |
|                     |             |              |        |        |  |  |
| Report Su           | ubmitted B  | у            |        |        |  |  |
| Name:               |             |              |        | Title: |  |  |
| Phone:              |             |              | Email: |        |  |  |
| Grant Info          | ormation    |              |        |        |  |  |
| Dates Co<br>Report: | overed by   |              |        |        |  |  |
| Commen              | ts about Cu | rrent Status |        |        |  |  |
|                     |             |              |        |        |  |  |

| Status Summary:   |     |    |                |
|---|-----|----|----------------|
|   | Yes | No | Comments/Notes |
| Are you experiencing any major issues?  |     |    |                |
| Do any issues need to be<br>escalated? If yes, please<br>list issues in the<br>Comments/Notes section.                              |     |    |                |
| Are you experiencing any staffing issues?   |     |    |                |
| Is the project being<br>impacted by external<br>factors?  |     |    |                |
| Are there any problems<br>impacting the project<br>team's ability to perform<br>the work?   |     |    |                |
| Are there significant areas<br>of success for this period?<br>If yes, please list these<br>items in the Comments/<br>Notes section. |     |    |                |

| School Name       |      |                  |   |
|-------------------|------|------------------|---|
| Candidate Name    | Date | Contact<br>Hours | Certification Specialist Actions                  |
| Kimberly Richmond | 8/31 | 2 hours          | Co-conducted baseline and debriefed observations. |
|                   | 9/6  | 3 hours          | Designing PL Session for on-site support          |
|                   |      |                  |   |
|                   |      |                  |   |
|                   |      |                  |   |
|                   |      |                  |   |
|                   |      |                  |   |
|                   |      |                  |   |
|                   |      |                  |   |
|                   | Tota | 1                |   |

|  | Agreements and Planning<br>Federally Funded Certification Specialists |                              |   |   |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Key Milestones or<br>Deliverables                                | Assessment<br>of Progress<br>(green,<br>yellow,<br>orange, or<br>red) | Target<br>Completion<br>Date | Candidate<br>Name(s) Who<br>Have Not<br>Completed | <b>Next Steps</b><br>If activity was not<br>completed based on<br>proposal timeline |  |  |
| List of Participating<br>Candidates Finalized                    |   | 7/31/2019                    |   |   |  |  |
| Collaboratively<br>Conduct Orientation<br>for Principals         |   | 8/30/2019                    |   |   |  |  |
| Collaboratively<br>Conduct Orientation<br>for Teachers           |   | 8/30/2019                    |   |   |  |  |
| Collaboratively<br>Conduct the<br>Midyear Leadership<br>Check-in |   | 1/31/2020                    |   |   |  |  |
| Updated List of<br>Candidates                                    |   | 10/30/2020                   |   |   |  |  |
| Updated List of<br>Teachers                                      |   | 10/30/2020                   |   |   |  |  |

|  | Training and Professional Learning<br>Federally Funded Certification Specialists |                              |   |   |  |  |
|--|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Key Milestones or<br>Deliverables                                      | Assessme<br>nt of<br>Progress<br>(green,<br>yellow,<br>orange, or<br>red)        | Target<br>Completion<br>Date | Action Steps<br>Taken and/or<br>Activities<br>Completed<br>with<br>Completion<br>Date | <b>Next Steps</b><br>If activity was not<br>completed based on<br>proposal timeline |  |  |
| Participate in<br>Statewide Kick-Off                                   |  | 8/13/2020                    |   |   |  |  |
| Conduct Professional<br>Learning Session<br>Three                      |  | 9/25/2020                    |   |   |  |  |
| Conduct Regional<br>Meeting Four (with<br>RESA Specialists<br>Meeting) |  | 12/10/2020                   |   |   |  |  |
| Professional Learning<br>Session Four<br>Delivered                     |  | 2/26/2021                    |   |   |  |  |
| Conduct Regional<br>Meeting Five (with<br>Kate Bishop 3/10/21)         |  | 3/10/2021                    |   |   |  |  |
| Conduct Leadership<br>Session Two                                      |  | 6/4/2021                     |   |   |  |  |
| Conduct and Attend<br>Graduation                                       |  | 6/4/2021                     |   |   |  |  |

|   | On-site Professional Learning<br>For Federally Identified Certification Schools |                              |   |   |  |
|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Key Milestones or<br>Deliverables                                       | Assessment<br>of Progress<br>(green,<br>yellow,<br>orange, or<br>red)           | Target<br>Completion<br>Date | Candidate<br>Name(s) Who<br>Have Not<br>Completed | <b>Next Steps</b><br>If activity was not<br>completed based on<br>proposal timeline |  |
| Conduct<br>Observation<br>Strategy Groups<br>Focus                      |   | 12/18/2020                   |   |   |  |
| Conduct Final<br>Observation (Entire<br>Observation Form)               |   | 5/14/2021                    |   |   |  |
| Minimum of 15 on-<br>site coaching visits<br>per year, per<br>candidate |   | 5/31/2021                    |   |   |  |

| Candidate Assessment<br>Federally Identified Certification Schools             |   |                              |   |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Key Milestones or<br>Deliverables  | Assessment of<br>Progress<br>(green, yellow,<br>orange, or red) | Target<br>Completion<br>Date | Candidate<br>Name(s) Who<br>Have Not<br>Completed | Next Steps<br>If activity was not<br>completed based on<br>proposal timeline |  |
| Baseline<br>Observation<br>(Performance<br>Assessment)                         |   | 9/30/2019                    |   |  |  |
| Classroom Library<br>Inventory<br>(Performance<br>Assessment)                  |   | 9/30/2019                    |   |  |  |
| Assessment<br>Calibration<br>(Group Calibration)                               |   | By MOY<br>Assessments        |   |  |  |
| Calibration for<br>Conferring Rubric<br>(Group Calibration)<br>Calibration for |   | Year One                     |   |  |  |
| Strategy Groups<br>Rubric (Group<br>Calibration)                               |   | 12/18/2020                   |   |  |  |
| Matches Students to<br>Texts (Performance<br>Assessment)                       |   | By MOY<br>Assessments        |   |  |  |
| Provides Coaching<br>and Feedback<br>(Performance<br>Assessment)               |   | Year Two                     |   |  |  |
| Proficiency with<br>Conferring<br>(Conferring Rubric)                          |   | Year One                     |   |  |  |
| *Facilitation and<br>Training (Year 2)   |   | Year Two                     |   |  |  |
| Content Knowledge<br>(Measurement TBD)   |   | Ongoing<br>Assessment        |   |  |  |

| *Strategy Groups<br>(Year 2) | Year Two   |  |
|------------------------------|------------|--|
| Reporting                    |            |  |
| (Performance                 | Ongoing    |  |
| Assessment –                 | Assessment |  |
| reports)                     |            |  |
| *Final Collection of         |            |  |
| Achievements (Year           | Year Two   |  |
| 2)                           |            |  |

|   | Data Analysis<br>Federally Identified Certification Schools           |                              |   |   |  |  |
|---|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Key Milestones or<br>Deliverables                               | Assessment<br>of Progress<br>(green,<br>yellow,<br>orange, or<br>red) | Target<br>Completion<br>Date | Action Steps<br>Taken and/or<br>Activities<br>Completed with<br>Completion Date | <b>Next Steps</b><br>If activity was not<br>completed based on<br>proposal timeline |  |  |
| Ensure Baseline<br>Assessment Results<br>Are Submitted          |   | 10/30/2020                   |   |   |  |  |
| Ensure Middle of<br>Year Assessment<br>Results Are<br>Submitted |   | 1/29/2021                    |   |   |  |  |
| Ensure End of Year<br>Assessment Results<br>Are Submitted       |   | 5/28/2021                    |   |   |  |  |

# Appendix E: Growing Readers Documents & Artifacts

|    | Growing Readers Documents and Processes that Promote Consistency |   |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|    | Document/Process   | Purpose   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Common PowerPoints for<br>Professional Learning Sessions         | Common PowerPoints ensure that delivery of<br>Professional Learning Sessions is consistent across all<br>RESAs.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Facilitator Guides for<br>Professional Learning Sessions         | The Facilitator Guide provides common language and<br>key points that facilitators are to ensure are made during<br>each Professional Learning Session.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | Participant Guides for<br>Professional Learning Sessions         | The Participant Guides provide a common set of<br>handouts and resources for teachers who engage in the<br>Professional Learning Session across all RESAs   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Implementation Expectations                                      | Each Professional Learning Session has Implementation<br>Expectations that define what teachers are expected to<br>do as a result of engaging in the session.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5. | Indicators   | Indicators define the success criteria for implementation<br>of expectations from each Professional Learning<br>Session. They provide RESA Specialists with clarity<br>regarding on-site coaching and support and keep<br>learning during on-site support consistent across all<br>RESAs.   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | One-pagers   | One-pagers are short, succinct, and clear informational<br>documents that are used during on-site support to<br>strengthen what teachers learned during each<br>Professional Learning Session. One-pagers address one<br>indicator in-depth.  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. | Conferring Rubric  | The conferring rubric defines each stage of growth over<br>time for teachers implement teacher-student<br>conferences. The rubric is also used to inform coaching<br>for individual teachers.   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. | Strategy Group Rubric  | The strategy group rubric defines each stage of growth<br>over time for teachers implementing strategy groups.<br>The rubric is also used to inform coaching for individual<br>teachers.  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. | Assessment and Rubric<br>Calibration Processes                   | Calibration is a process of review carried out by<br>members of a professional community who typically<br>discuss, review and compare student/teacher work in<br>order to reach a shared understanding of the<br>expectations for which such work needs to meet. The<br>process results in shared understanding of assessment<br>tools. |  |  |  |  |