

# Governor's Office of Student Achievement Comprehensive Audit Report for the SBOE

5<sup>th</sup> Grade 2008 CRCT Retests July 2009

### 4 Indicators to Support Audit Findings

- 1. Students' Gains over Spring Scores
- 2. Data Findings from Erasure Analysis
- 3. Supporting Details from Summer School Programs
- 4. Students' 6<sup>th</sup> Grade Math Achievement

### Indicator #1: Scale Score Gain

School	Scale Score	STDEV	Statistical Likelihood
	Gain	(σ)	
Deerwood-APS	41.2	3.5	0.0002326
Parklane-Fulton	44.9	4.1	0.00002066
Burroughs-	57.2	5.8	0.000000033
Molette-Glynn			
Atherton-Dekalb	75.7	8.5	0.0000000000000000948
State Average	16.4	-	-

(Sample Size N ≥ 20)

### Indicator #2: Erasure Analysis Findings

School		Mean Scores			98 <sup>th</sup> -99 <sup>t</sup>	Correlations		
	N	Total Score	Total Erasures (Range)	w-t-r Changes	Students Identified	Total Students	% Identified	Erasures x w-t-r changes
Deerwood	32	35.28	6.59 (6-24)	3.44	11	32	34.4%	0.94
Atherton	32	46.78	<b>21.72</b> (8-46)	15.19	32	32	100%	0.96
Parklane	26	36.88	<b>14.54</b> (8-32)	8.92	21	26	80.8%	0.97
Burroughs- Molette	23	41.22	<b>11.04</b> (6-29)	7.04	15	23	65.2%	0.95
Comparison	1986	27.52	2.05	0.75	94	1986	4.73%	0.80

# Indicator #3: Supporting Details-of the Summer School Programs

#### • Deerwood:

- 5 Schools at Deerwood for summer school
- Students placed with students from other schools
- Deerwood students taught primarily by Fickett teachers and TFA volunteers
- Only Deerwood's scores spiked of the 5 schools

#### Parklane

- 4 Schools at Parklane for summer school
- Students placed with students from other schools
- Only Parklane's scores spiked of the 4 schools

# Indicator #3: Supporting Details-of the Summer School Programs (cont'd)

#### Atherton

- Scripted math program taught at all Dekalb sites
- Tests collected after a weekend had passed
- Only Atherton's scores spiked

#### Burroughs-Molette

- Unqualified summer school coordinator
- Extremely lax test security; tests were not returned according to protocol; test access provided after testing

# Indicator #3: Supporting Details of the Summer School Programs (cont'd)

- Students worked smoothly.
- Students didn't ask questions.
- Students appeared to bubble answers without problem.
- Students did not appear to struggle through the test.
- Students did not appear to change many answers.
- Students finished the test.

# Indicator #4: Students' 6<sup>th</sup> Grade Math Achievement

School	Summer 2008 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade Math Retest Pass Rates	Spring 2009 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade Math CRCT Pass Rates			
Deerwood Academy	87.5%	41.7%			
Atherton Elementary	100%	41.4%			
Parklane Elementary	83.3%	29.2%			
Burroughs-Molette Elementary	85.7%	33.3%			

(Note: This data reflects students who did not pass the Spring 2008 math CRCT who retook the test in Summer 2008 and who took the 6<sup>th</sup> grade math CRCT in the Spring of 2009.)

# Summary

School	Scale Score Gain	STDEV (σ)	Mean Scores			LEA Response
			Total	Total	w-t-r	
			Score	Erasures	Changes	
Parklane-	44.9	4.1	46.78	21.72	15.19	LEA Internal Investigation
Fulton						that was "Inconclusive"; no
Fulton						specific comments
						provided
Burroughs-	57.2	5.8	36.88	14.54	8.92	LEA Internal Investigation;
						"Convinced an Adult
Molette-Glynn						Changed Answers"; Sent
						complaint to PSC
Atherton-	75.7	8.5	41.22	11.04	7.04	LEA Internal Investigation;
Dakalb						"Evidence Compelling and
Dekalb						Troubling"; Sent complaint
						to PSC
State Average	16.4	-	27.52	2.05	0.75	-

## Summary

School	Scale Score Gain	STDEV (σ)	Mean Scores			LEA Response
			Total	Total	w-t-r	
			Score	Erasures	Changes	
Deerwood-APS	41.2	3.5	35.28   6.59   3.44		3.44	LEA Internal Investigation;
						"No Evidence, No Basis,
						and No Confession"
State Average	16.4	-	27.52	2.05	0.75	-

### Summary - APS

- "No Confession" = "No Evidence"
  - 4 Indicators
- "Basis = Statistical Probability of Cheating"
  - Statistical Probability = Flag
  - Evidence of Investigation = 4 Indicators
- "No Motive"
  - Title I Distinguished School (Prestige & Money)
- "Rigorous Program and Teachers' Efforts"
  - Flat Gains of Students from other 4 Summer Schools
- "3.3 Standard Deviations"
  - GOSA Data Does Not Support This
  - More importantly, all this says is "you should not have looked at us". This is a response that lacks seriousness and does not evidence any meaningful desire to arrive at the truth.

#### OSA's Recommendations for SBOE

- 1. Invalidate the 5<sup>th</sup> grade CRCT retest scores for Atherton, Deerwood, Parklane, and Burroughs-Molette.
- 2. Reinstate spring AYP determinations of "did not make AYP" for Atherton, Deerwood, Parklane, and Burroughs-Molette.
- 3. Require that formal consequences for Atherton, Deerwood, Parklane, and Burroughs-Molette follow from July 2008 accountability determinations to July 2009 determinations.
- 4. Require the four systems to send a first class letter to parents or guardians of affected retested 5<sup>th</sup> grade students to inform them of the audit findings.
- 5. Require the receiving middle schools in the four school systems to design and follow an **individual support plan** for students affected by the testing violations who continue to struggle with mathematics.